arXiv:0806.0636v3 [math.OA] 12 Jan 2009

Asymptotically Unitary Equivalence and Classification of Simple Amenable C^*-algebras

Huaxin Lin

Abstract

Let C and A be two unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebras with tracial rank no more than one. Suppose that C satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem and suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ are two unital monomorphisms. We show that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u_t^* \varphi_1(c) u_t = \varphi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in C$$

if and only if $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$, $\varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger}$, $(\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T$ and a rotation related map $\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}$ associated with φ_1 and φ_2 is zero.

Applying this result together with a result of W. Winter, we give a classification theorem for a class $\mathcal{A}$ of unital separable simple amenable C^*-algebras which is strictly larger than the class of separable C^*-algebras whose tracial rank are zero or one. Tensor products of two C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{A}$ are again in $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, this class is closed under inductive limits and contains all unital simple ASH-algebras whose state spaces of K_0 is the same as the tracial state spaces as well as some unital simple ASH-algebras whose K_0-group is $\mathbb{Z}$ and tracial state spaces are any metrizable Choquet simplex. One consequence of the main result is that all unital simple AH-algebras which are $\mathcal{Z}$-stable are isomorphic to ones with no dimension growth.

1 Introduction

C^*-algebras are often viewed as non-commutative topological spaces. Indeed, by a classical theorem of Gelfand, all unital commutative C^*-algebras are isomorphic to $C(X)$, the algebra of all continuous functions on some compact Hausdorff space X. We are interested in the non-commutative cases, in particular, in the extremal end of non-commutative cases, namely, unital simple C^*-algebras. As in the commutative case, one studies continuous maps from one space to another, here we are interested in the homomorphisms from one unital simple C^*-algebra to another unital simple C^*-algebra. In this paper, we study the problem when two unital homomorphisms from one unital simple C^*-algebra to another are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. To be more precise, let C and A be two unital separable simple C^*-algebras and let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ be two unital homomorphisms. We consider the question when there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1)\}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to 1} u(t)^* \varphi_1(c) u(t) = \varphi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in C. \qquad \text{(e 1.1)}$$

In this paper, we assume that both A and C have tracial rank no more than one (see 2.8 below). We prove the following: Suppose that C also satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem. Then (e 1.1) holds if and only if $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$, $\varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi^{\ddagger}$, $(\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T$ and a rotation related map $\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0$. These conditions are all K-theory related. Except, perhaps, the condition $\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0$, all others are obviously necessary. The technical detail of these conditions will be discussed later. The result has been established when A is assumed to be a unital simple separable C^*-algebra with tracial rank zero. In fact, in that case C can be any unital AH-algebra. As in the case that A has tracial rank zero, one technical problem involved in proving the above mentioned asymptotic unitary equivalence theorem is the so-called Basic Homotopy Lemma (see [33]). A version of the Basic Homotopy Lemma for the case that A has tracial rank one was recently established in [38] which paves the way for this paper.

As in the commutative case, understanding continuous maps is important, the answer to the above mentioned question has many applications. For example, a special version of this was used to study the embedding of crossed products into a unital simple AF-algebras (see [34]). However, in this paper, we will only give an application to the classification of simple amenable C^*-algebras.

In the study of classification of amenable C^*-algebras, or otherwise known as the Elliott program, two types of results are particularly important: the so-called uniqueness theorem and existence theorem. Briefly, the uniqueness theorem usually means a theorem which states that, for certain C^*-algebras A and B, if two unital monomorphisms from A to B carry the same K-theory (or rather KK-theory) related information, then they are approximately unitarily equivalent. The existence theorem on the other hand usually states that given certain K-theory related maps from the relevant K-theory information of A to those of B (for example, maps from $\kappa : K_*(A) \to K_*(B)$), there is indeed a map (often a unital monomorphism) from A to B which induces the given map (for example, a homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi_* = \kappa$). These two types of results play the crucial roles in all classification results in the Elliott program.

In a recent paper, W. Winter ([50]) demonstrated a new approach to the Elliott program. Let A and B be two unital separable amenable C^*-algebras which satisfy the UCT and which are $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. Suppose that $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are isomorphic for any supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$ and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the UHF-algebra associated with $\mathfrak{p}$. W. Winter provided a way to show that A and B are actually isomorphic. He also showed how it works for the case that both $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ have tracial rank zero. In order to have Winter's method to work, in general, one also needs a uniqueness as well as an existence theorem. However, one requires an even finer uniqueness theorem as well as a finer existence theorem. Instead of approximate unitarily equivalent, the uniqueness theorem now requires two unital monomorphisms to be asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Thus the above mentioned theorem for asymptotic unitary equivalence serves as the required uniqueness theorem.

The existence theorem is equally important. Let $\kappa \in KK(C, A)$ be such that $\kappa(K_0(C)_+ \setminus \{0\}) \subset K_0(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $\kappa([1_C]) = [1_A]$. Let $\gamma : T(A) \to T(C)$ be an affine continuous map. We say that γ and κ are compatible if $\tau(\kappa([p])) = \gamma(\tau)([p])$ for all tracial states $\tau \in T(A)$ and all projections $p \in M_n(C)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Denote by $U(C)$ and $U(A)$ the unitary groups of C and A and by $CU(C)$ and $CU(A)$ the closures of the subgroups generated by commutators of $U(C)$ and $U(A)$, respectively. A homomorphism $\alpha : U(C)/CU(C) \to U(A)/CU(C)$ is said to be compatible with κ if the induced maps from $K_1(C)$ into $K_1(A)$ determined by α and $\kappa|_{K_1(C)}$ are the same. One also requires that α, γ and κ are compatible (see 8.1 below). As the first part of the existence theorem, we show that given such a triple, there is indeed a unital homomorphism $\varphi : C \to A$ such that φ induces κ, α and γ, i.e., $[\varphi] = \kappa$, $\varphi^{\ddagger} = \alpha$ and $\varphi_T = \gamma$. The previous results of this kind can be found in L. Li's paper ([19] with a special case in [12]) and [23] as well as [37]. One should notice that it is relatively easy (or at least known) to find a homomorphism φ such that $[\varphi] = \overline{\kappa}$ in $KL(C, A)$, where $\overline{\kappa}$ is the image of κ in $KL(C, A)$ once the case that $K_*(C)$ is finitely generated is established (as in [19]). It is quite different to match the KK-element when $K_*(C)$ is not finitely generated given the fact that $\lim_n KK(C_n, A) \neq KK(\lim_n C_n, A)$ in general. A general method to realize κ can be found in [39] which can be traced back to [18]. It again involves the Basic Homotopy Lemma among other things. Trace formulas and de la Harp and Scandalis determinants play important roles to match maps γ and α.

However, more are required this time for existence theorem. We show that, for any $\lambda \in Hom(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})$), there is a unital homomorphism $\psi : \varphi(C) \to A$ for which there is a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that $\psi(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_n(a)$ for all $a \in \varphi(C)$ and the rotation related map $\overline{R}_{\psi\circ\varphi,\varphi}$ is induced by λ.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all unital separable simple amenable C^*-algebras A which satisfy the UCT such that $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ have tracial rank one or zero for any supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$. Using the above mentioned the strategy as well as the so-called uniqueness and existence theorem, we show that two C^*-algebras A and B in $\mathcal{A}$ which are $\mathcal{Z}$-stable are isomorphic if and only if their Elliott invariant are the same. This considerably enlarges the class of unital simple C^*-algebras that can be classified by their Elliott invariant. Class $\mathcal{A}$ contains all unital simple AH-algebras. It also contains all unital simple separable amenable C^*-algebras with tracial rank one or zero which satisfy the UCT and all unital simple separable C^*-algebras which are inductive limits of type I C^*-algebras with the unique tracial states. In particular, it contains the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, it contains those unital simple ASH-algebras A for which $T(A) = S_{[1]}(K_0(A))$ (the latter is the state space of $K_0(A)$). It is closed under inductive limits and tensor products. As a consequence, it shows that any two unital simple AH-algebras are $\mathcal{Z}$-stably isomorphic if and only if they have the same Elliott invariant. In a subsequent paper ([40]), it will show that the class contains all unital simple AD-algebras as well as unital simple A$\mathbb{T}$D-algebras. Let $(G_0, (G_0)_+, u, G_1, S, r)$ be a six-tuple, where S is any metrizable Choquet simplex, G_1 is any countable abelian group, $(G_0, (G_0)_+, u)$ is any weakly unperforated Riesz group with order unit u and any pairing r, it will show that there is a unital simple separable amenable C^*-algebra $A \in \mathcal{A}$ so that its Elliott invariant is precisely the given six-tuple. In that paper, we will also show that there are C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{A}$ whose K_0-group may not be a Riesz groups ([40]). Furthermore, the range of the Elliott invariants of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{A}$ will be determined.

Acknowledgments This work is partially supported by a NSF grant, the Shanghai Priority Academic disciplines and Chang-Jiang Professorship from East China Normal University in the summer of 2008.

2 Preliminaries

2.1. Let A be a stably finite C^*-algebra. Denote by $T(A)$ the tracial state space of A and denote by $Aff(T(A))$ the space of all real affine continuous functions on $T(A)$. Suppose $\tau \in T(A)$ is a tracial state. We will also use τ for the trace $\tau \otimes Tr$ on $A \otimes M_k = M_k(A)$ (for every integer $k \geq 1$), where Tr is the standard trace on M_k.

Define $\rho_A : K_0(A) \to Aff(T(A))$ to be the positive homomorphism defined by $\rho_A([p])(\tau) = \tau(p)$ for each projection p in $M_k(A)$.

2.2. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra. Denote by $U(A)$ the group of unitaries in A and denote by $U_0(A)$ the path connected component of $U(A)$ containing the identity. Denote by $CU(A)$ the *closure* of the subgroup of $U(A)$ generated by commutators. It is a normal subgroup of $U(A)$. If $u \in U(A)$, $\overline{u}$ will be used for its image in $U(A)/CU(A)$. If $x, y \in U(A)/CU(A)$, define

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, y) = \inf\{\|v^*u - 1\| : \bar{u} = x \ \text{ and } \ \bar{v} = y\}.$$

Suppose that $K_1(A) = U(A)/U_0(A)$. We denote by $\Delta_A : U_0(A)/CU(A) \to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))/\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ the de la Harp and Skandalis determinant (see [5] and [45]). Let

$$d'_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \inf\{\|f - g - h\| : h \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}\}$$

for $f, g \in \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$ ($\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ are images of f and g in $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A))/\overline{\rho_A(T(A))}$, respectively). Define

$$d_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } d'_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) \ge 1/2 \\ |e^{2\pi d'_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g})} - 1| & \text{if } d'_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $d_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g}) < 2\pi d'_A(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$. As in 3.4 of [11], Δ_A gives an isometric isomorphism (The isomorphism follows [45] and the isometric part follows (a slight modification) proof of 3.1 of [43]).

2.3. By $Aut(A)$ we mean the group of automorphisms on A. Let $u \in U(A)$. We write $\mathrm{ad}\, u$ the inner automorphism defined by $\mathrm{ad}\, u(a) = u^*au$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $C \subset A$ be a C^*-subalgebra. Denote by $\overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(C, A)$ the set of those monomorphisms $\varphi : C \to A$ such that $\varphi(c) = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n^* c u_n$ for some sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ of A and for all $c \in C$.

2.4. Suppose that A and B are two unital C^*-algebras and $\varphi : A \to B$ is a homomorphism. Then φ maps $CU(A)$ to $CU(B)$. Denote by $\varphi^{\ddagger} : U(A)/CU(A) \to U(B)/CU(B)$ the induced homomorphism. Suppose that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $T(B) \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $\varphi_T : T(B) \to T(A)$ the continuous affine map defined by

$$\varphi_T(\tau)(a) = \tau(\varphi(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } \tau \in T(B).$$

2.5. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Denote by $SA = C_0((0, 1), A)$ the suspension of A.

2.6. A C^*-algebra A is an AH-algebra if $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n, \psi_n)$, where each A_n has the form $P_n M_{k(n)}(C(X_n))P_n$, where X_n is a finite CW complex (not necessarily connected) and $P_n \in M_{k(n)}(C(X_n))$ is a projection. We use $\psi_{n,\infty} : A_n \to A$ for the induced homomorphism.

2.7. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the class of separable amenable C^*-algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

Definition 2.8. Recall (see Theorem 6.13 of [20]) that a unital simple C^*-algebra A is said to have tracial rank no more than one (written $TR(A) \le 1$), if for any $\epsilon > 0$, any $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a projection $p \in A$ and a C^*-subalgebra C of A with $1_C = p$ and $C = \oplus_{i=1}^m C(X_i, M_{r(i)})$, where X_i is a connected finite CW complex with dimension $\mathrm{dim} X \le 1$, such that

(1) $\|px - xp\| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$,

(2) $\mathrm{dist}(pxp, C) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$,

(3) $1 - p$ is von-Neumann equivalent to a projection in $\overline{aAa}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{I}$, the class of those C^*-algebras with the form $\oplus_{i=1}^m C([0, 1], M_{r(i)}) \oplus \oplus_{j=1}^{m'} M_{R(j)}$. It was proved (Theorem 7.1 of [20]) that if $TR(A) \le 1$, then in the above definition, we can choose $C \in \mathcal{I}$. If furthermore, C can be replaced by finite dimensional C^*-subalgebras, then $TR(A) = 0$. If $TR(A) \le 1$ and $TR(A) \neq 0$, we write $TR(A) = 1$. By Theorem 2.5 of [31], if B is a unital simple AH-algebra with very slow dimension growth, then $TR(B) \le 1$.

2.9. Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras and let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a homomorphism. One can extend φ to a homomorphism from $M_k(A)$ to $M_k(B)$ by $\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_k}$. In what follows we will use φ again for this extension without further notice.

2.10. Let A and B be two C^*-algebras and let $L_1, L_2 : A \to B$ be a map. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ is a subset and $\epsilon > 0$. We write

$$L_1 \approx_\epsilon L_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F},$$

if

$$\|L_1(a) - L_2(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

2.11. Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras and let $L : A \to B$ be a unital contractive completely positive linear map. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset. It is well known that, for some small δ and large finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, if L is also δ-$\mathcal{G}$-multiplicative, then $[L]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined. In what follows whenever we write $[L]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ we mean δ is sufficiently small and $\mathcal{G}$ is sufficiently large so that it is well defined (see 2.3 of [30]).

If $u \in U(A)$, we will use $\langle L\rangle(u)$ for the unitary $L(u)|L(u)^*L(u)|^{-1}$.

For an integer $m \geq 1$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset U(M_m(A))$, let $F \subset U(A)$ be the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{U}$. As in 6.2 of [31], there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ and a small $\delta > 0$ such that a δ-$\mathcal{G}$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map L induces a homomorphism $L^{\ddagger} : \overline{F} \to U(M_m(B))/CU(M_m(B))$. Moreover, we may assume, $\overline{\langle L\rangle(u)} = L^{\ddagger}(\bar{u})$. If there are $L_1, L_2 : A \to B$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is given. Suppose that both L_1 and L_2 are δ-$\mathcal{G}$-multiplicative and $L_1^{\ddagger}$ and $L_2^{\ddagger}$ are well defined on $\overline{F}$, whenever, we write

$$\mathrm{dist}(L_1^{\ddagger}(\bar{u}), L_2^{\ddagger}(\bar{u})) < \epsilon$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$, we also assume that δ is sufficiently small and $\mathcal{G}$ is sufficiently large so that

$$\mathrm{dist}\overline{\langle L_1\rangle(u)}, \overline{\langle L_2\rangle(u)}) < \epsilon$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 2.12. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Following Dadarlat and Loring ([4]), denote

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let B be a unital C^*-algebra. If furthermore, A is assumed to be separable and satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem ([44]), by [4],

$$Hom_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)) = KL(A, B).$$

Here $KL(A, B) = KK(A, B)/Pext(K_*(A), K_*(B))$. (see [4] for details). Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Denote

$$F_k\underline{K}(A) = \oplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus_{n|k} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

Suppose that $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated ($i = 0, 2$). It follows from [4] that there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$Hom_{\Lambda}(F_k\underline{K}(A), F_k\underline{K}(B)) = Hom_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)). \tag{e 2.2}$$

Definition 2.13. Denote by $KK(A, B)^{++}$ those elements $x \in KK(A, B)$ such that $x(K_1(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}) \subset K_1(B)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that both A and B are unital. Denote by $KK_e(A, B)^{++}$ the set of those elements x in $KK(A, B)^{++}$ such that $x([1_A]) = [1_B]$.

Definition 2.14. Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras. Let $h : A \to B$ be a homomorphism and $v \in U(B)$ such that

$$h(g)v = vh(g) \ \text{ for all } \ g \in A.$$

Thus we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{h} : A \otimes C(S^1) \to B$ by $\bar{h}(f \otimes g) = h(f)g(v)$ for $f \in A$ and $g \in C(S^1)$. The tensor product induces two injective homomorphisms:

$$\beta^{(0)} \ : \ K_0(A) \to K_1(A \otimes C(S^1)) \tag{e 2.3}$$

$$\beta^{(1)} \ : \ K_1(A) \to K_0(A \otimes C(S^1)). \tag{e 2.4}$$

The second one is the usual Bott map. Note, in this way, one writes

$$K_i(A\otimes C(S^1))=K_i(A)\oplus\beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A)).$$

We use $\widehat{\beta^{(i)}}:K_i(A\otimes C(S^1))\to\beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A))$ for the projection to $\beta^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A))$.

For each integer $k\geq 2$, one also obtains the following injective homomorphisms:

$$\beta_k^{(i)}:K_i(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}))\to K_{i-1}(A\otimes C(S^1),\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}),i=0,1. \tag{e 2.5}$$

Thus we write

$$K_{i-1}(A\otimes C(S^1),\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})=K_{i-1}(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\oplus\beta_k^{(i)}(K_i(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})),\ \ i=0,1. \tag{e 2.6}$$

Denote by $\widehat{\beta_k^{(i)}}:K_i(A\otimes C(S^1),\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\to\beta_k^{(i-1)}(K_{i-1}(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}))$ similarly to that of $\widehat{\beta^{(i)}}$., $i=1,2$. If $x\in\underline{K}(A)$, we use $\boldsymbol{\beta}(x)$ for $\beta^{(i)}(x)$ if $x\in K_i(A)$ and for $\beta_k^{(i)}(x)$ if $x\in K_i(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we have a map $\boldsymbol{\beta}:\underline{K}(A)\to\underline{K}(A\otimes C(S^1))$ as well as $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}:\underline{K}(A\otimes C(S^1))\to\boldsymbol{\beta}(\underline{K}(A))$. Therefore one may write $\underline{K}(A\otimes C(S^1))=\underline{K}(A)\oplus\boldsymbol{\beta}(\underline{K}(A))$.

On the other hand $\bar{h}$ induces homomorphisms $\bar{h}_{*i,k}:K_i(A\otimes C(S^1)),\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})\to K_i(B,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, $k=0,2,...$, and $i=0,1$. We use $\mathrm{Bott}(h,v)$ for all homomorphisms $\bar{h}_{*i,k}\circ\beta_k^{(i)}$. We write

$$\mathrm{Bott}(h,v)=0,$$

if $\bar{h}_{*i,k}\circ\beta_k^{(i)}=0$ for all $k\geq 1$ and $i=0,1$. We will use $\mathrm{bott}_1(h,v)$ for the homomorphism $\bar{h}_{1,0}\circ\beta^{(1)}:K_1(A)\to K_0(B)$, and $\mathrm{bott}_0(h,u)$ for the homomorphism $\bar{h}_{0,0}\circ\beta^{(0)}:K_0(A)\to K_1(B)$. Since A is unital, if $\mathrm{bott}_0(h,v)=0$, then $[v]=0$ in $K_1(B)$.

In what follows, we will use z for the standard generator of $C(S^1)$ and we will often identify S^1 with the unit circle without further explanation. With this identification z is the identity map from the circle to the circle.

2.15. Given a finite subset $\mathcal{P}\subset\underline{K}(A)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F}\subset A$ and $\delta_0>0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Bott}(h,v)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

is well defined, if

$$\|[h(a),\,v]\|=\|h(a)v-vh(a)\|<\delta_0\ \text{ for all }\ a\in\mathcal{F}$$

(see 2.10 of [30]). There is $\delta_1>0$ ([41]) such that $\mathrm{bott}_1(u,v)$ is well defined for any pair of unitaries u and v such that $\|[u,\,v]\|<\delta_1$. As in 2.2 of [13], if $v_1,v_2,...,v_n$ are unitaries such that

$$\|[u,\,v_j]\|<\delta_1/n,\ \ j=1,2,...,n,$$

then

$$\mathrm{bott}_1(u,\,v_1v_2\cdots v_n)=\sum_{j=1}^n\mathrm{bott}_1(u,\,v_j).$$

By considering unitaries $z\in\widetilde{A\otimes C}$ ($C=C_n$ for some commutative C^*-algebra with torsion K_0 and $C=SC_n$), from the above, for a given unital separable amenable C^*-algebra A and a given finite subset $\mathcal{P}\subset\underline{K}(A)$, one obtains a universal constant $\delta>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}\subset A$ satisfying the following:

$$\mathrm{Bott}(h,\,v_j)|_{\mathcal{P}}\ \text{ is well defined and }\ \mathrm{Bott}(h,\,v_1v_2\cdots v_n)=\sum_{j=1}^n\mathrm{Bott}(h,\,v_j), \tag{e 2.7}$$

for any unital homomorphism h and unitaries $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ for which

$$\|[h(a),\ v_j]\| < \delta/n,\ \ j = 1, 2, ..., n \tag{e 2.8}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. If furthermore, $K_i(A)$ is finitely generated, then (e 2.2) holds. Therefore, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, such that

$$\mathrm{Bott}(h, v)$$

is well defined if $\mathrm{Bott}(h, v)|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is well defined (see also 2.3 of [30]).

See section 2 of [30] for the further information.

We will use the following the theorems frequently.

Theorem 2.16. (Theorem 8.4 of [38]) *Let A be a unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with $TR(A) \le 1$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Suppose that B is a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(B) \le 1$ and $h : A \to B$ is a unital monomorphism. Then there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: Suppose that there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that*

$$\|[h(a), u]\| < \delta \ \ for\ \ all\ \ f \in \mathcal{G}\ \ \text{and}\ \ \mathrm{Bott(h, u)}|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \tag{e 2.9}$$

Then there exists a piece-wise smooth and continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that

$$u_0 = u,\ \ u_1 = u, \|[h(a), v_t]\| < \epsilon\ \ \text{for all}\ \ f \in \mathcal{F}\ \ \text{and}\ \ t \in [0, 1].$$

We will also use the following

Theorem 2.17. (Corollary 11.8 of [36]) *Let C be a unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with $TR(C) \le 1$ and let B be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(B) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to B$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ of A such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_n \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a)\ \ for\ \ all\ \ a \in C$$

if and only if

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]\ \ in\ \ KL(C, B),\ \ \varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger}\ \ \text{and}\ \ (\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T.$$

3 Rotation maps and Exel's trace formula

3.1. Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$ are two monomorphisms. Define

$$M_{\varphi,\psi} = \{x \in C([0, 1], B) : x(0) = \varphi(a)\ \ \text{and}\ \ x(1) = \psi(a)\ \ \text{for some}\ \ a \in A\}. \tag{e 3.10}$$

When $A = B$ and $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_A$, $M_{\varphi,\psi}$ is the usual mapping torus. We may call $M_{\varphi,\psi}$ the (generalized) mapping torus of φ and ψ. This notation will be used throughout of this article. Thus one obtains an exact sequence:

$$0 \to SB \xrightarrow{\imath} M_{\varphi,\psi} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} A \to 0, \tag{e 3.11}$$

where $\pi_0 : M_{\varphi,\psi} \to A$ is identified with the point-evaluation at the point 0.

Suppose that A is a separable amenable C^*-algebra. From (e 3.11), one obtains an element in $Ext(A, SB)$. In this case we identify $Ext(A, SB)$ with $KK^1(A, SB)$ and $KK(A, B)$.

Suppose that $[\varphi]=[\psi]$ in $KL(A,B)$. The mapping torus $M_{\varphi,\psi}$ corresponds a trivial element in $KL(A,B)$. It follows that there are two exact sequences:

$$0\to K_1(B)\xrightarrow{\imath_*}K_0(M_{\varphi,\psi})\overset{(\pi_0)_*}{\to}K_0(A)\to 0\ \text{ and }\tag{e 3.12}$$

$$0\to K_0(B)\xrightarrow{\imath_*}K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})\overset{(\pi_0)_*}{\to}K_1(A)\to 0.\tag{e 3.13}$$

which are pure extensions of abelian groups.

Definition 3.2. Suppose that $T(B)\neq\emptyset$. Let $u\in M_l(M_{\varphi,\psi})$ be a unitary which is a piecewise smooth function on $[0,1]$. For each $\tau\in T(B)$, we denote by τ the trace $\tau\otimes Tr$ on $M_l(B)$, where Tr is the standard trace on M_l as in 2.1. Define

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(u)(\tau)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1\tau(\frac{du(t)}{dt}u(t)^*)dt.\tag{e 3.14}$$

It is easy to see that $R_{\varphi,\psi}(u)$ has real values. If

$$\tau(\varphi(a))=\tau(\psi(a))\ \text{ for all }\ a\in A\ \text{ and }\ \tau\in T(B),\tag{e 3.15}$$

then there exists a homomorphism

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}:K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})\to Aff(T(B))$$

defined by

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}([u])(\tau)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1\tau(\frac{du(t)}{dt}u(t)^*)dt.$$

If p is a projection in $M_l(B)$ for some integer $l\geq 1$, one has $\imath_*([p])=[u]$, where $u\in M_{\varphi,\psi}$ is a unitary defined by $u(t)=e^{2\pi it}p+(1-p)$ for $t\in[0,1]$. It follows that

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(\imath_*([p]))(\tau)=\tau(p)\ \text{ for all }\ \tau\in T(B).$$

In other words,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(\imath_*([p]))=\rho_B([p]).$$

Thus one has, exactly as in 2.2 of [18], the following:

Lemma 3.3. *When* (e 3.15) *holds, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(B) \arrow[rr, "\imath_*"] \arrow[dr, "\rho_B"'] & & K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}) \arrow[dl, "R_{\varphi,\psi}"] \\
& Aff(T(B)) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.4. If furthermore, $[\varphi]=[\psi]$ in $KK(A,B)$ and A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, using Dadarlat-Loring's notation, one has the following splitting exact sequence:

$$0\to\underline{K}(SB)\xrightarrow{[\imath]}\underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi})\overset{[\pi_0]}{\rightleftarrows}_{\theta}\ \underline{K}(A)\to 0.\tag{e 3.16}$$

In other words, there is $\theta\in\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A),\underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ such that $[\pi_0]\circ\theta=[\mathrm{id_A}]$. In particular, one has a monomorphism $\theta|_{K_1(A)}:K_1(A)\to K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})$ such that $[\pi_0]\circ\theta|_{K_1(A)}=(\mathrm{id}_A)_{*1}$. Thus, one may write

$$K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})=K_0(B)\oplus K_1(A).\tag{e 3.17}$$

Suppose also that $\tau \circ \varphi = \tau \circ \psi$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Then one obtains the homomorphism

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta|_{K_1(A)} : K_1(A) \to Aff(T(B)). \tag{e 3.18}$$

We say a rotation related map vanishes, if there exists a such splitting map θ such that

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta|_{K_1(A)} = 0.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_0$ the set of those homomorphisms $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \mathrm{Aff}(T(B)))$ for which there is a homomorphism $h : K_1(A) \to K_0(B)$ such that $\lambda = \rho_A \circ h$. It is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \mathrm{Aff}(T(B)))$. Let $\theta, \theta' \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ such that $[\pi_0] \circ [\theta] = [\mathrm{id}_C] = [\pi_0] \circ [\theta']$. Then $(\theta - \theta')(K_1(A) \subset K_0(B)$. In other words,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ (\theta - \theta')|_{K_1(A)} \in \mathcal{R}_0.$$

Thus, we obtain a well-defined element $\overline{R}_{\varphi,\psi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \mathrm{Aff}(T(B)))/\mathcal{R}_0$ (which does not depend on the choices of θ).

In this case, if there is a homomorphism $\theta_1' : K_1(A) \to K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})$ *such that* $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta_1' = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(A)}$ *and*

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta_1' \in \mathcal{R}_0,$$

then there is $\Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ *such that*

$$[\pi_0] \circ \Theta = [\mathrm{id}_A] \text{ and } R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \Theta = 0.$$

To see this, let $\theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ such that $[\pi_0] \circ \theta = [\mathrm{id}_A]$. There is a homomorphism $h : K_1(A) \to K_0(B)$ such that $\rho_A \circ h = R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta_1'$. Define $\theta_1'' : K_1(A) \to K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi})$ by

$$\theta_1''(x) = \theta_1'(x) - h(x) \text{ for all } x \in K_1(A).$$

Then $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta_1'' = (\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(A)}$. Moreover,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \theta_1'' = 0.$$

To lift θ_1'' to an element in $KL(C, K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$, define $\theta_0'' = \theta|_{K_0(A)}$. By the UCT, there exists $\theta'' \in KL(C, M_{\varphi,\psi})$ such that $\Gamma(\theta'') = \theta_*''$, where Γ is the map from $KL(C, M_{\varphi,\psi})$ onto $\mathrm{Hom}(K_*(C), K_*(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$.

Put

$$x_0 = [\pi_0] \circ \theta'' - [\mathrm{id}_{\underline{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{C})}] \text{ in } \mathrm{KL(C,C)}.$$

Then $\Gamma(x_0) = 0$. Define $\Theta = \theta'' - \theta \circ x_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$. Then one computes that

$$[\pi_0] \circ \Theta = [\pi_0] \circ \theta'' - [\pi_0] \circ \theta \circ x_0 = ([\mathrm{id}_{\underline{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{C})}] + \mathrm{x}_0) - [\mathrm{id}_{\underline{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{C})}] \circ \mathrm{x}_0 \tag{e 3.19}$$

$$= [\mathrm{id}_{\underline{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{C})}] + \mathrm{x}_0 - \mathrm{x}_0 = [\mathrm{id}_{\underline{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{C})}]. \tag{e 3.20}$$

Moreover,

$$\Theta|_{K_1(C)} = \theta_1''.$$

Therefore,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \Theta|_{K_1(C)} = 0.$$

In particular, if $\overline{R}_{\varphi,\psi} = 0$, there exists $\Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi,\psi}))$ such that $[\pi_0] \circ \Theta = [\mathrm{id}_A]$ and

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \circ \Theta = 0.$$

When $\overline{R}_{\varphi,\psi} = 0$, $\theta(K_1(A)) \in \ker R_{\varphi,\psi}$ for some θ so that (e 3.16) holds. In this case θ also gives the following:

$$\ker R_{\varphi,\psi} = \ker \rho_B \oplus K_1(A).$$

The following is a generalization of the Exel trace formula for the Bott element.

Theorem 3.5. (see also Theorem 3.5 of [33]) *There is $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$ and let $u, v \in U(A)$ be two unitaries such that*

$$\|uv - vu\| < \delta. \tag{e 3.21}$$

Then $bott_1(u, v)$ is well defined and

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(u, v))(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\tau(\log(vuv^*u^*))) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 3.22}$$

Proof. There is $\delta_1 > 0$ (one may choose $\delta_1 = 2$) such that, for any pair of unitaries for which $\|uv-vu\| < \delta_1$, $\mathrm{bott}_1(u, v)$ is well defined (see 2.15). There is also $\delta_2 > 0$ satisfying the following: if two pair of unitaries u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 such that

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| < \delta_2, \ \ \|v_1 - v_2\| < \delta_2 \tag{e 3.23}$$

as well as

$$\|[u_1,\, v_1]\| < \delta_1/2 \text{ and } \|[u_2,\, v_2]\| < \delta_1/2,$$

then

$$\mathrm{bott}_1(u_1, v_1) = \mathrm{bott}_1(u_2, v_2). \tag{e 3.24}$$

We may also assume that

$$\|v_1u_1v_1^*u_1 - 1\| < 1 \text{ whenever } \|[u_1,\, v_1]\| < \delta_2.$$

Let

$$F = \{z \in S^1 : |z - 1| < 1 + 1/2\}.$$

Let $\log : F \to (-\pi, \pi)$ be a smooth branch of logarithm. We choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2\}$. Now fix a pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u,\, v]\| < \delta. \tag{e 3.25}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\log(t) - \log(t')| < \epsilon \tag{e 3.26}$$

provided that $|t - t'| < \delta_3$ and $t, t' \in F$.

Choose $\epsilon_1 = \min\{\epsilon, \delta_3/4, \delta/2\}$. Since $TR(A) \le 1$, there is a C^*-subalgebra $B \in \mathcal{I}$ of A, a projection $p \in A$ with $1_B = p$, unitaries $u', v' \in B$, and $u'', v'' \in (1 - p)A(1 - p)$ such that

$$\|u' + u'' - u\| < \epsilon_1, \ \ \|v' + v'' - v\| < \epsilon_1 \tag{e 3.27}$$

$$\text{and } \tau(1 - p) < \epsilon_1 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 3.28}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{bott}_1(u' + u'', v' + v'') = \mathrm{bott}_1(u, v). \tag{e 3.29}$$

Therefore

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(u' + u'', v' + v''))(\tau) = \rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(u, v))(\tau) \tag{e 3.30}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Note that

$$\|[u',\, v']\| < \delta \text{ and } \|[u'',\, v'']\| < \delta. \tag{e 3.31}$$

We also have

$$\|v'u'(v')^*(u')^* - p\| < 1 + 1/2 \text{ and } \|v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^* - (1-p)\| < 1 + 1/2. \tag{e 3.32}$$

Write $B = \oplus_{j=1}^r C_j$, where $C_j = C([0,1], M_{l(j)})$, or $C_j = M_{l(j)}$ and

$$u' = \oplus_{j=1}^r u'(j) \text{ and } v' = \oplus_{j=1}^r v'(j), \tag{e 3.33}$$

where $u'(j), v'(j) \in C_j$ are unitaries. If $C_j = M_{l(j)}$, by Exel's trace formula ([14]), one has

$$Tr_j(\mathrm{bott}_1(u'(j), v'(j))) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} Tr_j(\log(v'(j)u'(j)v(j)^*u(j)^*)) \tag{e 3.34}$$

where Tr_j is the standard trace on $M_{l(j)}$. If $C_j = C([0,1], M_{l(j)})$, define $\pi_s : C_j \to M_{l(j)}$ by the point-evaluation at $s \in [0,1]$. Then we have

$$Tr_j(\mathrm{bott}_1(\pi_s(u'(j)), \pi_s(v'(j)))) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} Tr_j(\log(\pi_s(v'(j)u'(j)v(j)^*u(j)^*))) \tag{e 3.35}$$

for all $s \in [0,1]$. It follows that, for any tracial state $t \in T(C_j)$,

$$t(\mathrm{bott}_1(u'(j), v'(j))) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} t(\log(v'(j)u'(j)v(j)^*u(j)^*)). \tag{e 3.36}$$

Suppose that $\tau \in T(A)$. Then there are $\lambda_j \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j}{l(j)} = 1 \text{ and } \tau|_B = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j}{l(j)} t_j, \tag{e 3.37}$$

where $t_j \in T(C_j)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., r$. It follows that

$$\tau(\mathrm{bott}_1(u', v')) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(\log(v'u'(v')^*(u')^*)). \tag{e 3.38}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(\log((v'+v'')(u'+u'')(v'+v'')^*(u'+u'')^*))) && \text{(e 3.39)}\\ &\quad = \tau(\log(v'u'(v')^*(u')^*)) + \tau(\log(v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^*)). && \text{(e 3.40)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(v''u''(v'')^*(u'')^*))| < \tau(1-p) < \epsilon && \text{(e 3.41)}\\ &\text{and } \tau(\mathrm{bott}_1(u'', v'')) < \epsilon && \text{(e 3.42)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows that

$$|\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}(u,v))(\tau) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log((v'+v'')(u'+u'')(v'+v'')^*(u'+u'')^*)))| < 2\epsilon \tag{e 3.43}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

By(e 3.27), we have

$$\|vuv^*u^* - (v'+v'')(u'+u'')(v'+v'')^*(u'+u'')^*\| < 4\epsilon_1. \tag{e 3.44}$$

Thus, by the choice of ϵ_1 and by (e 3.27),

$$|\frac{1}{2\pi i}[\tau(\log((v'+v'')(u'+u'')(v'+v'')^*(u'+u'')^*)) - \tau(\log(vuv^*u))]| < \epsilon \tag{e 3.45}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Thus, by (e 3.45) and (e 3.43),

$$|\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(u,v))(\tau) - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(vuv^*u))| < 3\epsilon \tag{e 3.46}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and for all ϵ. Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\tau(\log(vuv^*u)) \tag{e 3.47}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

□

4 Asymptotic unitary equivalence

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and let B be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are two unital homomorphisms such that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0,\infty)\} \subset B$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 4.48}$$

Then there is a continuous piecewisely smooth path of unitaries $\{w(t) : t \in [0,\infty)\} \subset B$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, v(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 4.49}$$

Proof. For each integer $n \ge 1$, since $v(t)$ is continuous in $[n-1, n]$, there are points $\{t_{i,n} : i = 0, 1, ..., l(n)$ such that $n-1 = t_{0,n} < t_{1,n} < \cdots t_{l(n),n} = n+1$ and

$$\|u(t_{i,n}) - u(t_{i-1,n})\| < \frac{1}{2^{n+5}}, \; i = 1, 2, ..., l(n).$$

Therefore there is, for each i, a selfadjoint element $h_{i,n} \in B$ with $\|h_{i,n}\| \le \frac{1}{2^{n+3}}$ such that

$$u(t_i) = u(t_{i-1})\exp(\sqrt{-1}h_{i,n}), \; i = 1, 2, ..., l(n).$$

Define

$$v(t) = u(t_{i-1})\exp(\sqrt{-1}(\frac{t - t_{i-1,n}}{t_{i,n} - t_{i-1,n}})h_{i,n}) \text{ for all } t \in [t_{i-1,n}, t_{i,n}),$$

$i = 1, 2, ..., l(n)$ and $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note that, for any $c \in C$,

$$\|v(t)^*\varphi_1(c)v(t) - u(t_{i,n})^*\varphi_1(c)u(t_{i,n})\| \le \|(v(t)^* - u(t_{i,n})^*)\varphi_1(c)v(t)\| + \tag{e 4.50}$$

$$\|u(t_{i,n})^*\varphi_1(c)(v(t) - u(t_{i,n}))\| < (\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}})\|\varphi_1(c)\| = \frac{1}{2^n}\|\varphi_1(c)\| \tag{e 4.51}$$

for all $t \in [t_{i-1,n}, t_{i,n})$, $i = 1, 2, ..., l(n)$ and $n = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, v(t) \circ \varphi_1(c) = \varphi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in C.$$

Note that $v(t)$ is continuous and piecewisely smooth.

□

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital C^*-algebra and let B be a unital separable C^*-algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are unital monomorphisms such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \ \textit{for all } a \in A \tag{e 4.52}$$

for some continuous and piecewisely smooth path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0,\infty)\} \subset B$. Then

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2],\ \ \varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger},\ \ (\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T \ \text{ and } \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0. \tag{e 4.53}$$

Proof. It is clear that $\varphi_1^{\dagger} = \varphi_2^{\dagger}$, if φ_1 and φ_2 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Thus this theorem follows from Theorem 4.3 of [33].

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{N}$ and let B be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Then*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \ \textit{in } KK(A,B), \varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger}, (\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T \ \text{ and } \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = \{0\}. \tag{e 4.54}$$

Proof. This follows from 4.2 and 4.1 immediately.

□

5 An existence theorem

Lemma 5.1. *Let C be a unital separable exact simple C^*-algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0(C)$. Let A be a unital simple C^*-algebra of stable rank one. Suppose that there is a homomorphism $\kappa : K_0(C) \to K_0(A)$ for which $\kappa([1_C]) = [1_A]$ and $\kappa(K_0(C)_+ \setminus \{0\}) \subset K_0(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then κ induces a positive linear map $\Lambda : C_{s.a} \to \mathit{Aff}(T(A))$ such that*

$$\Lambda(p)(\tau) = \tau(\kappa([p]))$$

for any projection $p \in C$. Moreover, suppose that there is a sequence of unital completely positive linear maps $L_n : C \to A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|L_n(a)L_n(b) - L_n(ab)\| = 0 \ \textit{ and } \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\in T(A)} |\rho_A([L_n](x) - \kappa(x))(\tau)| = 0 \tag{e 5.55}$$

for all $a, b \in C$ and for all $x \in K_0(C)$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau \circ L_n(f) - \Lambda(f)(\tau)| : \tau \in T(A)\} = 0 \ \textit{ for all } f \in C.$$

Moreover, if $f \in C_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $\Lambda(f)(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Proof. It follows from [3] that there is a quasi-trace $\Lambda(\tau)$ on C such that

$$\Lambda(\tau)(p) = \kappa([p])(\tau)$$

for each projection $p \in C$ and for each $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows from the Haagerup theorem that $\Lambda(\tau)$ is a tracial state on C. Thus κ gives a positive linear map $\Lambda : C_{s.a} \to \operatorname{Aff}(T(A))$ such that

$$\Lambda(p)(\tau) = \tau(\kappa([p]))$$

for all projections $p \in A$. Moreover, for each $f \in C_{s.a}$, there is a sequence of sets of finitely many mutually orthogonal projections $p_{1,n}, p_{2,n}, ..., p_{k(n),n} \subset C$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, ..., \lambda_{k,n}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f - \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \lambda_{i,n} p_{i,n}\| = 0. \tag{e 5.56}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sup\{|\tau\circ L_m(\sum_{i=1}^{k(n)}\lambda_{i,n}p_{i,n})-\sum_{i=1}^{k(n)}\lambda_{i,n}\tau(\kappa([p_{i,n}])|:\tau\in T(A)\}=0.$$

It follows from (e 5.56) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\{|\tau(L_n(f))-\Lambda(f)(\tau)|:\tau\in T(A)\}=0\ \text{ for all }\ f\in C_{s.a.}.$$

For any $f\in C_+$ with $\|f\|=4/3$, since C has real rank zero, there is a projection $p\in C$ such that $f\geq p$. Thus $\Lambda(f)(\tau)\geq\tau\circ\kappa([p])>0$ for all $\tau\in T(A)$.

□

Let A and let C be unital C^*-algebras. Let $T=N\times R:C_+\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}$ be a map and let $\mathcal{H}\subset C_+\setminus\{0\}$ be a subset. Recall that a map $L:C\to A$ is said to be $\mathcal{H}\times T$-full, if, for any $a\in\mathcal{H}$, there are $N(a)$ elements $x_1,x_2,...,x_{N(a)}$ with $\|x_i\|\leq R(a)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N(a)}x_i^*L(a)x_i=1_A.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let C be a unital separable exact simple C^*-algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one and weakly unperforated $K_0(C)$. Let A be a unital simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A)\leq 1$. Suppose that there is a homomorphism $\kappa:K_0(C)\to K_0(A)$ for which $\kappa([1_C])=[1_A]$ and $\kappa(K_0(C)_+\setminus\{0\})\subset K_0(A)_+\setminus\{0\}$ and suppose that there is a sequence of unital completely positive linear maps $L_n:C\to A$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|L_n(a)L_n(b)-L_n(ab)\|=0\ \text{ for all }\ a,b\in C\ \text{ and} \tag{e 5.57}$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\{|\tau([L_n](x)-\kappa(x))|:\tau\in T(A)\}=0\ \text{ for all }\ x\in K_0(C). \tag{e 5.58}$$

Then there is $T=N\times R:C_+\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any finite subset $\mathcal{H}\subset C_+\setminus\{0\}$, there exists an integer $n_0\geq 1$ such that L_n is $\mathcal{H}$-T-full for all $n\geq n_0$.

Proof. Let Λ be given by 5.1. For any $a\in D_+\setminus\{0\}$, let $a_1=a/\|a\|$. Let $a_0=f_0(a_1)$ where $f_0\in C([0,1])$, $f_0(t)=0$ if $t\in[0,3/4]$, $f_0(1)=1$ and $0\leq f_0(t)\leq 1$. Define $f_1\in C_0((0,1])$ such that $0\leq f_1(t)\leq 1$, $f(t)=0$ for $t\in[0,1/2]$, $f(t)=1$ for $t\in[3/4,1]$. Since C has real rank zero, there is non-zero projection $p_0\in\overline{a_0Ca_0}$. Put

$$d(a)=\inf\{\Lambda(p_0)(\tau):\tau\in T(A)\}.$$

Then $d(a)>0$. Since A has stable rank one, there is a projection $p'\in A$ such that $[p']=\kappa([p_0])$ (since $\kappa([p_0])\leq[1_A]$). We have that

$$\tau(p')\geq(3/4)d(a)\ \text{ for all }\ \tau\in T(A). \tag{e 5.59}$$

Let

$$N(a)=[\frac{1}{(3/4)d(a)}]+1\ \text{ and }\ R(a)=\max\{1/\|a\|,1\}. \tag{e 5.60}$$

Note that, since $TR(A) \le 1$, for any projection $p' \in A$ with $\tau(p') \ge (3/4)d(a)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, there are partial isometries $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m \in M_m(A)$ with $m \le N(a)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m v_i^* p' v_i \ge 1_A$. Let $w \in M_m(A)$ be a partial isometry such that

$$w^* w = 1 \text{ and } ww^* = q \le \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^* p' v_i.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w^* v_i^* p' v_i w = w^* q w = 1_A. \tag{e 5.61}$$

Let $x_i = w^* v_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Note that $x_i \in A$ and $\|x_i\| \le 1$. Define $T(a) = (N(a), 2\sqrt{2}R(a)^{1/2})$ for all $a \in D_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset D_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Choose $n_0' \ge 1$ such that

$$L_n(p_0) \ge (15/16)\Lambda(p)(\tau) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $n \ge n_0'$. Put $b = L_n(f_1(a_1))$. By (e 5.57) and Section 2.5 of [22] , there is a projection $p \in A$ such that, with $n \ge n_0 \ge n_0'$,

$$\|L_n(p_0) - p\| < 1/32 \text{ and } \|bp - p\| < 1/16. \tag{e 5.62}$$

From what we have shown above, there are $z_1, z_2, ..., z_{N(a)} \in A$ with $\|z_i\| \le 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)} z_i^* p z_i = 1_A.$$

It follows from (e 5.62) (and Section 2.5 of [22], for example) that there is $0 \le b_1 \le 2$ such that $b_1 b b_1 = p$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)} z_i^* b_1 b b_1 z_i = 1_A.$$

Since $2R(a)a \ge f_1(a_1)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)} z_i^* 2R(a) L_n(a) z_i \ge 1_A.$$

It follows that there are $y_1, y_2, ..., y_{N(a)}$ with $\|y_i\| \le 2\sqrt{2}R(a)^{1/2}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)} y_i^* L_n(a) y_i = 1_A.$$

It follows that L_n is $\mathcal{H}$-T-full for all $n \ge n_0$.

□

Definition 5.3. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{00}$ the class of C^*-algebras with the form $PM_l(C(X))P$, where $X = \mathbb{T} \vee \mathbb{T} \vee \cdots \vee Y$, where Y is a connected finite simplicial complex with dimension no more than three and with torsion $K_1(C(Y))$, $P \in M_l(C(X))$ is a projection. In particular, $X \in \mathbf{X}$ as defined in 8.2 of [36]. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the class of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_{00}$ so that the projection P has rank at least 6, if $X \ne \mathbb{T} \vee \mathbb{T} \vee \cdots \vee \mathbb{T}$. In particular, $K_1(C) = U(C)/U_0(C)$ for $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the class of AH-algebras which have the form $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \imath_n)$, where each C_n

is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\imath_n$ is a unital monomorphism. If $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then one has splitting short exact sequence

$$U_0(C)/CU(C) \to U(C)/CU(C) \to K_1(C) \to 0 \tag{e 5.63}$$

and Δ_C is an isometric and isomorphism (see 2.2).

Theorem 5.4. *Let C be a unital separable simple amenable C^*-algebra with $TR(C) = 0$ which satisfies the UCT and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\kappa \in KL(C,A)^{++}$ with $\kappa([1_C]) = [1_A]$. Then there is a unital homomorphism $h : C \to A$ such that*

$$[h] = \kappa \text{ in } KL(C,A).$$

Proof. It follows from [26] that C is a unital simple AH-algebra. Moreover, it can be written as $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})$, where each C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-subalgebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ (this follows from a theorem of Villadsen and Theorem 10.9 and Theorem 10.10 of [31], see also [11]). Furthermore, by [10], we may assume that $\varphi_{n,n+1}$ are injective. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C whose union is dense in C. We may assume that there is a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_n' \subset C_n$ such that $\varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F}_n') = \mathcal{F}_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $\underline{K}(C)$. By 9.10 of [31], there exists a sequence of unital completely positive linear maps $L_n : C \to A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|L_n(a)L_n(b) - L_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a,\, b \in C \text{ and } [L_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \kappa|_{\mathcal{P}_n}, \tag{e 5.64}$$

$n = 1, 2, ...$ Let Λ be in 5.1 associated with κ and $T = N \times R : C_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ be given by κ as in 5.2.

Let δ_n (in place of δ), $\mathcal{G}_n \subset C$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$), $\mathcal{P}_n' \subset \underline{K}(C)$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) and $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(M_\infty(C))$ be as required by Theorem 11.5 of [36] for $\epsilon_n = 1/2^{n+1}$, $\mathcal{F}_n$ and T. Since C is of stable rank one, we only need to consider the case that $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(C)$. To simplify the notation, we may assume that $\mathcal{U}_n \subset U(C)$. By passing to a subsequence of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n'$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note as in (e 5.63) we may write

$$U(C)/CU(C) = U_0(C)/CU(C) \oplus K_1(C).$$

Let $\pi_1 : U(C)/CU(C) \to U_0(C)/CU(C)$ and $\pi_2 : U(C)/CU(C) \to K_1(C)$ be the projection. Put $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n^{(1)} = \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n^{(2)} = \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n = \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n^{(1)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n^{(2)}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \underline{C_{s.a.}}$ be a finite subset such that $\Delta_C(\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n^{(1)}) \subset \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{J}_n}}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{J}_n}$ is the image of $\mathcal{J}_n$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(T(C))$ and $\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{J}_n}}$ is the image in $\mathrm{Aff}(C)/\overline{\rho_C(C)}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Put $\mathcal{G}_n' = \mathcal{G}_n \cup \mathcal{J}_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Without loss of generality, we may also assume that there is a finite subset $\mathcal{Q}_n \in \underline{K}(C_n)$ such that $[\varphi_{n,\infty}](\mathcal{Q}_n) = \mathcal{P}_n$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_n^{(0)} \subset C_n$ such that $\varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n^{(0)}) = \mathcal{G}_n'$ and $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \varphi_{n,\infty}(U(C_n))$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $\mathcal{V}_n \subset U(C_n)$ be a finite subset such that $\overline{\varphi_n(\mathcal{V}_n)} = \overline{\mathcal{U}_n}^{(2)}$ and $\kappa_1^{(n)} : G(\overline{\mathcal{V}_n}) \to K_1(C_n)$ is injective, where $G(\mathcal{V}_n)$ is the subgroup of $U(C_n)/CU(C_n)$ generated $\overline{\mathcal{V}_n}$ and where $\kappa_1^{(n)} : U(C_n)/CU(C_n) \to K_1(C_n)$ is the quotient map, $n = 1, 2, ...$

We may assume, by passing to a subsequence, that

$$\sup\{|\tau(L_n(a)) - \Lambda(a))(\tau)| : \tau \in T(A)\} < \delta_n/32\pi \tag{e 5.65}$$

for $a = (1/2)(c^* + c)$ and $a = (1/2i)(c - c^*)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{G}_k'$.

We claim, that, there is a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L'_{n(k+1)} &\approx_{1/2^{n+1}} L'_{n(k)} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_k, && \text{(e 5.66)}\\ [L'_{n(k)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_k} &= [L_{n(k)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_k} \text{ and} && \text{(e 5.67)}\\ \sup\{|\tau(L'_{n(k)}(a)) - \tau(L_{n(k)}(a))| : \tau \in T(A)\} &< \delta_k/8\pi && \text{(e 5.68)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}'_k$, $k = 1, 2, ...$, where $L'_{n(k)} : C \to A$ is a δ_k-$\mathcal{G}'_k$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map. We also assume that $[L'_{n(k)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_k}$ is well defined and $L'_{n(k)}$ is $\mathcal{H}_k$-T-full, where $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{G}'_k \cap C_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $L'_{n(k)} \circ \varphi_{k,\infty}$ induces $\alpha'_k : K_1(C_k) \to U(A)/CU(A)$, where we write $U(C_k)/CU(C_k) = U_0(C_k)/CU(C_k) \oplus K_1(C_k)$ and define $\alpha_k : U(C_k)/CU(C_k) \to U(A)/CU(A)$ so that $\alpha_k|_{K_1(C_k)} = \alpha'_k$ and $\alpha_k|_{U_0(C_k)/CU(C_k)} = 0$. Let $\tilde{\delta}_k$ (in place of δ), $\tilde{G}_k$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) and η_k (in place of η) be required by Lemma 7.4 of [31] for C_k (in place of C), $\delta_k/2\pi$ (in place of ϵ) and for $\varphi_{k-1,k}(\mathcal{V}_{k-1})$ (in place of $\mathcal{U}$). We may assume that $\tilde{\delta}_k < \delta_k/2$, $k = 1, 2, ...$

We use induction. Suppose that we have constructed $n(1) < n(2) < ..., n(k)$ so that (e 5.66), (e 5.67) and (e 5.68) hold.

Since $TR(C) = 0$, we may assume that there is a projection $p_k \in C$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_k : C_k \to B$ for some finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra B of C with $1_C = p_k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{k,\infty}(f) - \psi_k \oplus (1-p_k)\varphi_{k,\infty}(f)(1-p_k)\| &< \min\{\delta_{k+1}/8\pi, \eta_{k+1}/4, 1/2^{n+2}\} && \text{(e 5.69)}\\ \text{and } \|p_k\varphi_{k,\infty}(f) - \varphi_{k,\infty}(f)p_k\| &< \min\{\delta_{k+1}/8\pi, \eta_{k+1}/4, 1/2^{n+2}\} && \text{(e 5.70)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{G}'_k \cup \tilde{G}_k$ and

$$\tau(p_k) < \min\{\tilde{\delta}_{k+1}/4, \delta_{k+1}/4\} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(C). \qquad \text{(e 5.71)}$$

To simplify notation, we may assume that $L_n(p_k)$ is a projection for all $n \geq n(k)+1$, without loss of generality.

By 5.2, we may assume, without loss of generality, that L_n are $\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$-T-full for all $n \geq N(k)$ for some $N(k) \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{H}_{k+1} = \mathcal{G}'_{k+1} \cap C_+ \setminus \{0\}$. We may also assume that L_n is $\min\{\delta_{k+1}, \eta_k\}$-$\mathcal{S}_{k+1}$-multiplicative, where $\mathcal{S}_{k+1} \supset \mathcal{G}'_{k+1} \cup (\psi_k + (1-p_k)\varphi_{k,\infty})(\mathcal{G}_k^{(0)} \cup \tilde{G}_k)$. We may also assume that $N(k) > n(k)$. Without loss of generality, we may further assume that $L_{N(k)}(p_k)$ is a projection.

It follows from Lemma 7.4 of [31] that there is a unital homomorphism $\Psi_{k+1} : C_{k+1} \to L_{N(k)}(p_k)AL_{N(k)}(p_k)$ such that Ψ_{k+1} is homotopically trivial, $(\Psi_{k+1})*0 = [L_{N(k)} \circ \psi_k]_{*0}$ and

$$\alpha_k(\bar{w})^{-1}(\Psi_{k+1} \oplus (1-q_k)L_{N(k)}(1-q_k))^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}) = \overline{g_w} \qquad \text{(e 5.72)}$$

for all $w \in \varphi_{k,k+1}(\mathcal{V}_k)$, where $g_w \in U_0(A)$, $\mathrm{cel}(g_w) < \delta_k/2\pi$ and where $q_k = L_{N(k)}(p_k)$. Let $n(k+1) = N(k)$. Define $L''_{n(k+1)} = \Psi_k \oplus (1-q_k)L_{N(k)}(1-q_k)$. We have

$$[L''_{n(k+1)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_k} = [L_{n(k+1)}]|_{\mathcal{P}_k}. \qquad \text{(e 5.73)}$$

Note, by 5.2, we may assume that $L''_{n(k+1)}$ is also $\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$-T-full. By, (e 5.71),

$$|\tau \circ L''_{n(k+1)}(a) - \tau \circ L_{n(k+1)}(a)| < \delta_{k+1}/4\pi \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A)$$

and $a \in \mathcal{G}'_{k+1}$. In particular, by (e 5.65),

$$|\tau \circ L''_{n(k+1)}(a) - \tau \circ L'_{n(k)}(a)| < \delta_k/2\pi \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A)$$

and $a \in \mathcal{G}'_k$. Since $\mathcal{J}_k \subset \mathcal{G}'_k$ (see also 2.2), one also has

$$\mathrm{dist}((L''_{n(k+1)})^{\ddagger}(\bar{u}), (L'_{n(k)})^{\ddagger}(\bar{u})) < \delta_k \tag{e 5.74}$$

for all $\bar{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)}}$. By combining with (e 5.72), one sees that (e 5.74) holds for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_k$.

By applying Theorem 11.5 of [36], we obtain a unitary $u_k \in A$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ L''_{n(k+1)} \approx_{1/2^{k+1}} L'_{n(k)} \ \text{ on } \ \mathcal{F}_k. \tag{e 5.75}$$

Moreover, it is clear that, by choosing larger $N(k)$, if necessarily, we assume that $L''_{n(k+1)} \circ \varphi_{k+1,\infty}$ induces a homomorphism $\alpha'_{k+1} : K_1(C_{k+1}) \to U(A)/CU(A)$. We then define α_{k+1} accordingly. Define $L'_{n(k+1)} = \mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ L''_{n(k+1)}$. This proves the claim.

It follows that $\{L'_{n(k)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. One obtains a unital linear map $h : C \to A$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} L'_{n(k)}(c) = h(c) \ \text{ for all } \ c \in C.$$

Therefore h must be a unital homomorphism. It follows from (e 5.73) that

$$[h] = \kappa.$$

□

6 Almost commuting with a unitary

Lemma 6.1. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) = 0$. Suppose that there is a unital monomorphism $h : C \to A$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$, there exists a C^*-subalgebra $C_0 \subset C$ with $\mathcal{P} \subset [\imath](\underline{K}(C_0))$, where $\imath : C_0 \to C$ is the embedding, and a finite subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset K_1(C_0)$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_0 \otimes C(\mathbb{T})), \underline{K}(A))$ with*

$$|\rho_A \circ \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}(x))(\tau)| < \delta \ \textit{for all} \ x \in \mathcal{Q} \ \text{and} \ \ \textit{for all} \ \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 6.76}$$

Then there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(c),\, u]\| < \epsilon \ \textit{for all} \ c \in \mathcal{F} \ \text{and} \ \mathrm{Bott}(h \circ \imath, u) = \kappa \circ [\imath] \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Moreover, there is a sequence of C^*-algebras C_n with the form $C_n = P_n M_{r(n)}(C(X_n))P_n$, where X_n is a finite CW complex and $P_n \in M_{r(n)}(C(X_n))$ is a projection, such that $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \varphi_n)$ for a sequence of unital monomorphisms $\varphi_n : C_n \to C_{n+1}$ and one may choose $C_0 = \varphi_{n,\infty}(C_n)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(\mathbb{T})), \underline{K}(A))$. Note (see 2.10 of [30]) that

$$\underline{K}(C \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = \underline{K}(C) \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}(\underline{K}(C)).$$

Define $\kappa' \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C \otimes C(\mathbb{T})), \underline{K}(A))$ as follows.

$$\kappa'|_{\underline{K}(C)} = [h] \ \text{ and } \ \kappa'|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\underline{K}(C))} = \kappa|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}(\underline{K}(C))}. \tag{e 6.77}$$

We see then the lemma follows immediately from Lemma 7.5 of [33] by considering κ' instead of κ.

□

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a finite CW complex and let $C = PM_r(C(X))P$, where $P \in M_r(C(X))$ is a projection and $r \geq 1$. Let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) = 0$ and let $\kappa \in KK_e(C, A)^{++}$. Suppose that $p \in A$ is a non-zero projection. Then there is a non-zero projection $p_0 \leq p$, a unital monomorphism $h_0 : C \to p_0Ap_0$ and a unital homomorphism $h_1 : C \to F$ such that*

$$[h_0 + h_1] = \kappa,$$

where F is a finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra of A with $1_F = 1 - p_0$.

Proof. First we assume that $C = C(X)$. It is then clear that we may assume that X is a connected. In this case the lemma follows from Lemma 4.2 of [30]. For general case, there is $k \geq 1$ such that there is a projection $Q \in M_k(C)$ such that $QM_k(C)Q \cong M_R(C(X))$ for some integer $R \geq 1$. Moreover, there is an integer $R' \geq 1$ and a projection $Q_1 \in M_{R'}(QM_k(C)Q)$ such that $Q_1M_{R'}(QM_k(C)Q)Q_1 = M_{R_1}(C)$ for some integer $R_1 \geq 1$. Then there is a projection $q \in M_k(A)$ such that there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections $q_1, q_2, .., q_R \in M_k(A)$ such that $\kappa([Q]) = [q_1]$. Let $C_0 = e_1M_R(C(X))e_1 \cong C(X)$, where e_1 is a rank one projection. Let $e_0 \in M_k(A)$ such that $\kappa([e_1]) = [e_0]$. Let $q_0 \in e_0Ae_0$ be a non-zero projection such that

$$k[q_0] \leq [p].$$

It follows from the special case that there is a unital monomorphism $h_0 : C_0 \to h_0(e_1)M_k(A)h_0(e_1)$ such that

$$[h_0'] = \kappa$$

and $h_0' = h_{0,0} + h_{0,1}$, where $h_{0,0}$ is a unital monomorphism from C_0 to $q_0M_k(A)q_0$ and $h_{0,1}$ maps C_0 into a finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra in $(e_0 - q_0)M_k(A)(e_0 - q_0)$. Let $h_1' = h_0' \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{R'}}$ and $h_2 = (h_1')|_{Q_1M_{R'}(QM_k(C)Q)Q_1}$. Let $h' = h_2|_{e_1'M_{R_1}(C)e_1'}$, where $e_1' \in M_{R_1}(C)$ is a rank one projection. Then we obtain a unital monomorphism $h : C \to A$ by choosing $h = \mathrm{ad}\, u \circ h'$ for some unitary $u \in h_2(M_{R_1}(C))$. By choosing a right unitary, we may write $h = h_0 + h_1$, where h_0 maps C into p_0Ap_0 with $p_0 \leq p$ and $h_1 : C \to (1 - p_0)A(1 - p_0)$ with finite dimensional range.

□

Theorem 6.3. *Let C be a unital C^*-algebra with the form $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \imath_n)$, where C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-subalgebras in $\mathcal{C}_{00}$ and $\imath_n : C_n \to C_{n+1}$ is unital and injective, and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $h : C \to A$ is a monomorphism. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$, there exists $n \geq 1$ for which $\mathcal{P} \subset [\imath_n](\underline{K}(C_n))$, a finite subset $\mathcal{Q} \subset K_1(C_n)$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_n \otimes C(\mathbb{T})), \underline{K}(A))$ with*

$$|\rho_A \circ \kappa(\boldsymbol{\beta}(x)(\tau))| < \delta \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 6.78}$$

Then there exists a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[h(c),\, u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathrm{Bott}(h \circ \imath_n, u) = \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Proof. It is clear that it suffices to prove the case that C is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_{00}$. Therefore, to simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $C \in \mathcal{C}_{00}$ and write $C = PC_r(C(X))P$. Let B be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(B) = 0$ which satisfies the UCT and

$$(K_0(B), K_0(B)_+, [1_B], K_1(B)) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, [1_A], K_1(A)).$$

It follows from 5.4 that we may assume that there is an embedding $\imath : B \to A$ such that $[\imath]$ (in $KL(B, A)$) induces an identification of the above. To simplify notation, we may further assume that B is a unital C^*-subalgebra of A.

Let $\epsilon_1 > 0$ with $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon$ and let $\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset such that, for any unital homomorphism $H : C \to A$ and unitary $u' \in A$ for which

$$\|[H(c),\, u']\| < \epsilon_1 \ \text{ for all } \ c \in \mathcal{F}_1,$$

$\mathrm{Bott}(H, u')$ is well-defined. Moreover, if $H' : C \to A$ is another unital monomorphism such that

$$\|H(c) - H'(c)\| < \epsilon_1 \ \text{ for all } \ c \in \mathcal{F}_1,$$

then

$$\mathrm{Bott}(H, u') = \mathrm{Bott}(H', u').$$

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset C$ (in place of $\mathcal{H}$) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(C)$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{U} \subset U(M_\infty(C))$ be a finite subset required by Cor. 11.6 of [36] for $\epsilon_1/2$ (in place of ϵ) and $\mathcal{F}_1$ and h. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is in the unit ball of C.

For a moment, we assume that rank of P at each point of X has rank at least 6. In this case $K_1(C) = U(C)/U_0(C)$. Therefore, we may assume that $\mathcal{U} \subset U(C)$. Write $U(C)/CU(C) = U_0(C)/CU(C) \oplus K_1(C)$ and $\pi_1 : U(C)/CU(C) \to U_0(C)/CU(C)$ and $\pi_2 : U(C)/CU(C) \to K_1(C)$ are projection maps, respectively. Let $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_2 = \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$. To simplify the notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \overline{\mathcal{U}}_1 \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}}_2$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset C_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset such that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_0} \supset \Delta_C(\overline{\mathcal{U}_1})$. Let $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_0$.

Let $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be as required by Lemma 7.4 of [31] for $\delta_1/2$ (in place of ϵ), $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_2$ and $\alpha = h^{\ddagger}$.

It follows from 6.2 that there is a unital monomorphism $h_0 : C \to p_0Bp_0$ with $\tau(p_0) < \min\{\delta_1/8, \delta_2/4\}$ for all $\tau \in T(B)$ and a unital homomorphism $h_1' : C \to F$, where F is a finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra of B with $1_F = (1 - p_0)$ such that

$$[h_0 + h_1'] = [h] \ \text{ in } \ KK(C, A). \tag{e 6.79}$$

Note that we have assumed that $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Let $1 > \delta_3 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{Q} \subset K_1(C)$ be required by Lemma 6.1 for $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{P}$ and p_0Bp_0 (in place of A).

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_3 \cdot (\delta_1/16\pi), \delta_3 \cdot \delta_2/4\}$. Now suppose that

$$|\rho_A \circ \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}(x)(\tau)| < \delta \ \text{ for all } \ x \in \mathcal{Q} \ \text{ and } \quad \text{for all } \ \tau \in T(A).$$

By 6.1, there is a unitary $u_0 \in p_0Bp_0$ such that such that

$$\|[h_0(c),\, u_0]\| < \epsilon_1/2 \ \text{ for all } \ c \in \mathcal{F} \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Bott}(h_0, u_0) = \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}. \tag{e 6.80}$$

Put $u = u_0 + (1 - p_0)$. It is easy to see that there is a non-zero projection $q_0 \in (1 - p_0)A(1 - p_0)$ such that

$$q_0 f = f q_0 \ \text{ for all } \ f \in F \ \text{ and } \ \tau(q_0) < \delta \ \text{ for all } \ \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 6.81}$$

Define $\varphi_0(c) = q_0 h_1'(c)$ and $\varphi_0'(c) = (1 - p_0 - q_0)h_1'(c)$ for all $c \in C$.

By Lemma 9.5 of [31], there is C^*-subalgebra $B_0 \in (1 - p_0 - q_0)A(1 - p_0 - q_0)$ with $B_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ and a unital homomorphism $h_1 : C \to B_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1)_{*0} &= (\varphi_0')_{*0} \ \text{ and} && \text{(e 6.82)} \\ \|\tau \circ h_1(f) - (\tau(1 - p_0 - q_0))\tau \circ h(f)\| &< \delta_1/8\pi \ \text{ for all } \ f \in \mathcal{G}_2 && \text{(e 6.83)} \end{aligned}$$

and for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Define $\varphi_1 = h_0 + h_1$. By applying Lemma 7.4 of [31], we obtain a unital homomorphism $\Phi : C \to q_0Aq_0$ with $\Phi_{*0} = (\varphi_0)_{*0}$, Φ is homotopically trivial, and, for all $w \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$h^{\ddagger}(\bar{w})^{-1}(\Phi \oplus \varphi_1)^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}) = \overline{g_w}, \tag{e 6.84}$$

where $g_w \in U_0(A)$ and $\mathrm{cel}(g_w) < \delta_1/2$. Define $h_2 = \Phi \oplus \varphi_1$. Then

$$[h_2] = [h] \text{ in } KK(C, A). \tag{e 6.85}$$

Moreover, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau \circ h(f) - \tau(h_2(f))| &< \delta_1/4 + |\tau \circ h(f) - \tau(h_1(f))| && \text{(e 6.86)}\\ &\le \delta_1/2 + \delta_1/4 + |\tau(1 - p_0 - q_0)\tau(h(f)) - \tau(h_1(f))| && \text{(e 6.87)}\\ &< \delta_1/2\pi \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{G}_2 && \text{(e 6.88)} \end{aligned}$$

and for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Since $\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{H}_0}} \supset \Delta_C(\overline{\mathcal{U}_1})$, by combining the above inequality with (e 6.84),

$$\mathrm{dist}(h_2^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}), h^{\ddagger}(\bar{w})) < \delta_1 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{e 6.89}$$

By applying Corollary 11.6 of [36] that there is a unitary $U \in A$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, U \circ h_2 \approx_{\epsilon_1/2} h \text{ on } \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 6.90}$$

Choose $u = U^*(u_0 + (1 - p_0))U$. Then

$$\|[h(c),\, u]\| < \epsilon_1 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 6.91}$$

Moreover, by the choice of ϵ_1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Bott}(h, u) &= \mathrm{Bott}(h_2, u_0 + (1 - p_0)) && \text{(e 6.92)}\\ &= \mathrm{Bott}(h_0, u_0) = \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}. && \text{(e 6.93)} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the general case. We consider $h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_6} : M_6(C) \to M_6(A)$. Suppose that $1/2 > \epsilon > 0$. For $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon_1}{4 \cdot 36}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{(a_{i,j}) \in M_6(A) : a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{or } \ a_{i,j} = 0, 1_A\}$, applying what we have proved to $h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_6}$, we obtain a unitary $W \in U(M_6(A))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_6}(c),\, W]\| < \epsilon_2 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ and} && \text{(e 6.94)}\\ \mathrm{Bott}(h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_6}, W) = \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}. && \text{(e 6.95)} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to compute that there is a unitary $u \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|U - W\| < \epsilon_1, \tag{e 6.96}$$

where $U = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{u, u, ..., u}^{6})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[h(c),\, u]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F} && \text{(e 6.97)}\\ \text{and } \mathrm{Bott}(h, u) = \mathrm{Bott}(h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_6}, U) = \kappa \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}. && \text{(e 6.98)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.4. Let A be a unital simple separable C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$ and let C be a unital AH-algebra as in Theorem 6.3. Note that, given homomorphisms $b_i : (\imath_n)_{*i}(K_i(C_n)) \to K_i(A)$ $(i = 0, 1)$, there is an element $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_n \otimes C(\mathbb{T})), \underline{K}(A))$ such that $\kappa|_{K_i(C_n)} = b_i \circ (\imath_n)_{*i}$, $i = 0, 1$. Thus Theorem 6.3 says that A has property (B1) and (B2) associated with C as defined in 3.6 of [39].

7 Asymptotic unitary equivalence in simple C^*-algebras of tracial rank one

Lemma 7.1. *Let C be a unital AH-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ are two unital monomorphisms. Suppose that*

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi_1] &= [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KL(C, A), && \text{(e 7.99)}\\ \varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger}, \quad (\varphi_1)_T &= (\varphi_2)_T \text{ and} && \text{(e 7.100)}\\ R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(K_1(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2})) &= \rho_A(K_0(A)). && \text{(e 7.101)} \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of C whose union is dense in C, any increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ of $K_1(C)$ with $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}_n = K_1(C)$ and any decreasing sequence of positive number $\{\delta_n\}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\delta_n < \infty$, there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ in $U(A)$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n \qquad \text{(e 7.102)}$$

and

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1})(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P}_n \qquad \text{(e 7.103)}$$

for all sufficiently large n.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 11.7 of [36] that there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, v_n \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C. \qquad \text{(e 7.104)}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_n = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\}$ and we may also assume that $\mathcal{F}_n$ are in the unit ball of C and $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in the unit ball of C and we write that $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \psi_n)$, where $C_n = P_n M_{R(n)}(C(X_n))P_n$ and C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$, and where each ψ_n is a unital monomorphism. We may assume that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \varphi_{n,\infty}(C_n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset (\varphi_{n,\infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_n))$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Let $1/2 > \epsilon_n' > 0$ so that $\mathrm{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is well defined for any unital monomorphism $h' : C \to A$ and any unitary $u' \in A$ for which

$$\|[h'(a), u']\| < \epsilon_n' \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_n \qquad \text{(e 7.105)}$$

for some finite subset $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \varphi_{n,\infty}(C_n)$ which contains $\mathcal{F}_n$. Moreover, we may assume that $\mathrm{Bott}(h' \circ \psi_{n,\infty}, u')$ is well defined whenever (e 7.105) holds.

Put $\epsilon_n'' = \min\{\epsilon_n'/2, 1/2^{n+1}, \delta_n/2\}$.

Let $\delta_n' > 0$ (in place of δ), $k(n) \ge 1$ (in place of n) and $\mathcal{Q}_n \subset K_1(C_n)$ (in place of $\mathcal{Q}$) be as required by 6.3 for $\epsilon_n''/2$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{F}_n$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) and $\mathcal{P}_n = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\}$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$).

Note here we assume that $\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\} \subset (\psi_{k(n),\infty})_{*1}(K_1(C_{k(n)}))$. So there are $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in K_1(C_{k(n)})$ such that $(\psi_{k(n),\infty})_{*1}(y_i) = z_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

Suppose that $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_{m(n)}\}$ ($m(n) \ge n$) forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_{k(n)})$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{Q}_n = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_{m(n)}\}$. Put $\epsilon_n = \min\{\epsilon_n''/2, \delta_n'/2\}$.

We may assume that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\epsilon_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}_{k(n)} \qquad \text{(e 7.106)}$$

Moreover we may assume that

$$\|[\varphi_1(a),\, v_nv_{n+1}^*]\| < \epsilon_n \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{G}_{k(n)}. \tag{e 7.107}$$

Thus $\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_1 \circ \psi_{k(n),\infty}, v_nv_{n+1}^*)$ is well defined.

Let $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n, ..., w_{m(n)} \in M_l(C_{k(n)})$ for some $l \geq 1$ be unitaries such that $[w_i] = (\psi_{k(n),\infty})_{*1}(y_i)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m(n)$. Put

$$\bar{v}_n = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{v_n, v_n, \ldots, v_n}^{l}).$$

We will continue to use φ_i for $\varphi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_l}$, $i = 1, 2$.

By choosing even larger $\mathcal{G}_{k(n)}$, we may also assume that

$$\|\varphi_2(w_j)\mathrm{ad}\,\bar{v}_n(\varphi_1((w_j)^*)) - 1\| < (1/4)\sin(2\pi\epsilon_n), \ \ n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 7.108}$$

Put

$$h_{j,n} = \log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\varphi_2(w_j)\mathrm{ad}\,\bar{v}_n(\varphi_1((w_j)^*))), \ \ j = 1, 2, ..., m(n), n = 1, 2, ...$$

Then for any $\tau \in T(A)$,

$$\tau(h_{j,n}) < \epsilon_n < \delta_n', \ \ j = 1, 2, ..., m(n). \tag{e 7.109}$$

By the assumption that $R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}) = \rho_A(K_0(A))$ and by Lemma 3.5, we conclude that

$$\widehat{h_{j,n}}(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}) \in \rho_A(K_0(A)). \tag{e 7.110}$$

By applying 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 of [32], and choosing larger $\mathcal{G}_{k(n)}$, we obtain a homomorphism $\alpha_n' : K_1(C_n) \to \rho_A(K_0(A))$ such that

$$\alpha_n'(y_j)(\tau) = \widehat{h_{j,n}}(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}), j = 1, 2, ..., m(n). \tag{e 7.111}$$

Since $\alpha_n'(K_1(C_n))$ is free, it follows from (e 7.110) that there is a homomorphism $\alpha_n^{(1)} : K_1(C_n) \to K_0(A)$ such that

$$\rho_A \circ \alpha_n^{(1)}(y_j)(\tau) = \tau(h_{j,n}), \ \ j = 1, 2, ..., m(n). \tag{e 7.112}$$

Define $\alpha_n^{(0)} : K_0(C_n) \to K_1(A)$ by $\alpha_n^{(0)} = 0$. By the UCT, there is $\kappa_n \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_n), \underline{K}(A))$ such that $\kappa_n|_{K_1(C_n)} = \alpha_n^{(1)}$.

It follows from 6.3 that there exists a unitary $U_n \in U_0(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a),\, U_n]\| < \epsilon_n''/2 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}_n \tag{e 7.113}$$
$$\text{and} \ \ \rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j) = -\rho_A \circ \alpha_n(z_j), \tag{e 7.114}$$

$j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

By the Exel trace formula 3.5 and (e 7.111), we have

$$\tau(h_{j,n}) = -\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j)(\tau) \tag{e 7.115}$$
$$= -\tau(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\log(\bar{U}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{U}_n^*\varphi_2(w_j^*))) \tag{e 7.116}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., m$, where

$$\bar{U}_n = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{U_n, U_n \cdots, U_n}^{l}) \tag{e 7.117}$$

Define $u_n = v_nU_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Put

$$\bar{u}_n = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{u_n, u_n, \dots, u_n}^{l}).$$

By 6.1 of [32]), and by (e 7.114) and (e 7.116), we compute that

$$\begin{align}
&\tau(\log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\varphi_2(w_j)\mathrm{ad}\,\bar{u}_n(\varphi_1(w_j^*))))) \tag{e 7.118}\\
&= \tau(\log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n\varphi_2(w_j^*)\bar{U}_n^*\bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j))\bar{v}_n))) \tag{e 7.119}\\
&= \tau(\log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{U}_n^*\varphi_2(w_j^*)\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j^*))\bar{v}_n))) \tag{e 7.120}\\
&= \tau(\log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\bar{U}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{U}_n^*\varphi_2(w_j^*)))) \tag{e 7.121}\\
&\qquad +\tau(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\log(\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{v}_n^*(\varphi_1(w_j^*))\bar{v}_n))) \tag{e 7.122}\\
&= \rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, U_n))(z_j)(\tau) + \tau(h_{j,n}) = 0 \tag{e 7.123}
\end{align}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Let

$$\begin{align}
b_{j,n} &= \log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\bar{u}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\varphi_1(w_j^*)) \text{ and} \tag{e 7.124}\\
b'_{j,n} &= \log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\bar{u}_{n+1}\varphi_2(w_j^*)\bar{u}_{n+1}^*\bar{u}_n)), \tag{e 7.125}
\end{align}$$

$j = 1, 2, ..., n$ and $n = 1, 2, ...$ We have, by (e 7.123),

$$\begin{align}
\tau(b_{j,n}) &= \tau(\log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\bar{u}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\varphi_1(w_j^*))) \tag{e 7.126}\\
&= \tau(\log\frac{1}{2\pi i}\bar{u}_n^*\bar{u}_n\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\varphi_1(w_j^*)\bar{u}_n) \tag{e 7.127}\\
&= \tau(\log\frac{1}{2\pi i}\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\varphi_1(w_j^*)\bar{u}_n) = 0 \tag{e 7.128}
\end{align}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$ and $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note also $\tau(b_{j,n+1}) = 0$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and for $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Note that

$$\bar{u}_n e^{2\pi i b'_{j,n}}\bar{u}_n^* = e^{2\pi i b_{j,n}}e^{-2\pi i b_{j,n+1}}. \tag{e 7.129}$$

Thus, by 6.1 of [32] and by (e 7.129), we compute that

$$\tau(b'_{j,n}) = \tau(b_{j,n}) - \tau(b_{j,n+1}) = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 7.130}$$

It follows the Exel trace formula (3.5) and (e 7.130) that

$$\begin{align}
&\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^*u_{n+1}))(z_j^*)(\tau) \tag{e 7.131}\\
&= \tau(\log(\bar{u}_n^*\bar{u}_{n+1}\varphi_2(w_j^*)\bar{u}_{n+1}^*\bar{u}_n\varphi_2(w_j))) \tag{e 7.132}\\
&= \tau(\log(\varphi_2(w_j)\bar{u}_n^*\bar{u}_{n+1}\varphi_2(w_j^*)\bar{u}_{n+1}^*\bar{u}_n)) = 0 \tag{e 7.133}
\end{align}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows that (e 7.103) holds. By (e 7.106) and (e 7.113), one concludes that (e 7.102) also holds.

□

Theorem 7.2. *Let C be a unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with $TR(C) \le 1$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ are two unital monomorphisms. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} U(t) \circ \varphi_1(c) = \varphi_2(c) \text{ for all } c \in C \tag{e 7.134}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi_1] &= [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, A), & \text{(e 7.135)}\\ \varphi_1^{\ddagger} = \varphi_2^{\ddagger}, \quad (\varphi_1)_T &= (\varphi_2)_T \text{ and} & \text{(e 7.136)}\\ \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} &= \{0\}. & \text{(e 7.137)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The "only if " part follows from 4.3. We need to show that "if" part of the theorem.

Let $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \psi_n)$, where C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\psi_n : C_n \to C_{n+1}$ is a unital monomorphism. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C such that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in C.

Put

$$M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = \{f \in C([0,1], A) : f(0) = \varphi_1(a) \text{ and } f(1) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for some } a \in C\}.$$

Since C satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, the assumption that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(C, A)$ implies the following exact sequence splits:

$$0 \to \underline{K}(SA) \to \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}) \overset{\pi_0}{\rightleftarrows}_{\theta} \underline{K}(C) \to 0 \tag{e 7.138}$$

For some $\theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C), \underline{K}(A))$.

Furthermore, since $\tau \circ \varphi_1 = \tau \circ \varphi_2$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and $\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = \{0\}$, we may also assume that

$$\mathrm{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(\theta(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in K_1(C). \tag{e 7.139}$$

By [4], one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\underline{K}(C_n), [\psi_n]) = \underline{K}(C). \tag{e 7.140}$$

Since each $K_i(C_n)$ is finitely generated, there is an integer $K(n) \ge 1$ such that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(C_n), F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(A)) = \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_n), \underline{K}(A)). \tag{e 7.141}$$

Let $\delta_n' > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{G}_n' \subset C$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) and $\mathcal{P}_n' \subset \underline{K}(C)$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) be finite subsets corresponding to $1/2^{n+2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ required by Theorem 7.4 of [38]. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}_n' \subset \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_n' = [\psi_{n,\infty}](\mathcal{P}_n)$ for some finite subset $\mathcal{G}_n$ of C_n and for some finite subset $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \underline{K}(C_n)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{P}_n$ contains a set of generators of $F_{K(n)}\underline{K}(C_n)$, $\delta_n' < 1/2^{n+3}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n'$. We also assume that $\mathrm{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is well defined whenever $\|h'(a), u']\| < \delta_n'$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_n'$ and for any unital homomorphism h' and unitary u'. Note that $\mathrm{Bott}(h', u')|_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ defines $\mathrm{Bott}(h'|_{C_n}, u')$.

We further assume that

$$\mathrm{Bott}(h, u)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \mathrm{Bott}(h', u)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} \tag{e 7.142}$$

provided that $h \approx_{\delta_n'} h'$ on $\mathcal{G}_n'$.

We may also assume that δ_n' is smaller than $\delta/3$ for that δ in 2.15 for C_n and $\mathcal{P}_n$.

Let $k(n) \geq n$ (in place of n) and $\eta_n > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by 6.3 for $\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$), $\mathcal{P}_n$ (in place of $\mathcal{P}$) and $\delta_n'/4$ (in place of ϵ). For C_n, since $K_i(C_n)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ is finitely generated, by choosing larger $k(n)$, we may assume that $(\varphi_{k(n),\infty})_{*i}$ is injective on $(\varphi_{n,k(n)})_{*i}(K_i(C_n))$, $i = 0, 1$. Since $K_i(C_n)$ is finitely generated, by (e 7.141), we may further assume that $[\varphi_{k(n),\infty}]$ is injective on $[\varphi_{n,k(n)}](\underline{K}(C_n))$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

By passing to a subsequence, to simplify notation, we may assume that $k(n) = n + 1$.

Let $\delta_n = \min\{\eta_n, \delta_n/2'\}$.

By 7.1, there are unitaries $v_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}), \tag{e 7.143}$$

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2,\, v_n^* v_{n+1}))(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \psi_{n+1,\infty}(K_1(C_{n+1})) \text{ and} \tag{e 7.144}$$

$$\|[\varphi_2(a),\, v_n^* v_{n+1}]\| < \delta_{n+1}/2 \text{ for all } a \in \psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}) \tag{e 7.145}$$

(Note that $K_1(C_{n+1})$ is finitely generated).

Note that, by (e 7.142), we may also assume that

$$\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1, v_{n+1} v_n^*)|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \mathrm{Bott}(v_n^* \varphi_1 v_n, v_n^* v_{n+1})|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})|_{\mathcal{P}_n}. \tag{e 7.146}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{bott}_1(v_n^* \varphi_1 v_n, v_n^* v_{n+1})(x) = \mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})(x) \tag{e 7.147}$$

for all $x \in \psi_{n+1,\infty}(K_1(C_{n+1}))$.

By applying 10.4 and 10.5 of [33], without loss of generality, we may assume that φ_1 and v_n define $\gamma_n \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{n+1}), \underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}))$ and $[\pi_0] \circ \gamma_n = [\mathrm{id}_{C_{n+1}}]$. Furthermore, by 10.4 and 10.5 of [33], without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\tau(\log(\varphi_2 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j)^* \widetilde{v_n}^* \varphi_1 \circ \psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j) \widetilde{v_n})) < \delta_{n+1}, \;\; j = 1, 2, \ldots, r(n), \tag{e 7.148}$$

where $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{r(n)}\} \subset U(M_k(C_{n+1}))$ which forms a set of generators of $K_1(C_{n+1})$ and where $\widetilde{v_n} = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{v_n, v_n, \ldots, v_n}^{k})$.

Let $H_n = [\varphi_{n+1}](\underline{K}(C_{n+1}))$. Since $\cup_{n=1}[\varphi_{n+1,\infty}](\underline{K}(C_n)) = \underline{K}(C)$ and $[\pi_0] \circ \gamma_n = [\mathrm{id}_{C_{n+1}}]$, we conclude that

$$\underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}) = \underline{K}(SA) + \cup_{n=1}^\infty \gamma_n(H_n). \tag{e 7.149}$$

Thus, by passing to a subsequence, we may further assume that

$$\gamma_{n+1}(H_n) \subset \underline{K}(SA) + \gamma_{n+2}(H_{n+1}), \;\; n = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{e 7.150}$$

By identifying H_n with $\gamma_{n+1}(H_n)$, we may write $j_n : \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n \to \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+1}$. By (e 7.149), the inductive limit is $\underline{K}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2})$.

From the definition of γ_n, we note that, $\gamma_n - \gamma_{n+1} \circ [\psi_{n+1}]$ maps $\underline{K}(C_{n+1})$ into $\underline{K}(SA)$.

By 10.6 of [33], $\Gamma(\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1, v_n v_{n+1}^*))|_{H_n} = (\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+2} \circ [\psi_{n+2}])|_{H_n}$ gives a homomorphism $\xi_n : H_n \to \underline{K}(SA)$. Put $\zeta_n = \gamma_{n+1}|_{H_n}$. Then

$$j_n(x, y) = (x + \xi_n(y), [\psi_{n+2}](y)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n. \tag{e 7.151}$$

Thus, we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \underline{K}(SA) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dl, "\xi_n"'] \arrow[d, shift left=3, "{[\psi_{n+2}]}"] & H_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\psi_{n+2}]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \underline{K}(SA) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dl, "\xi_{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, shift left=3, "{[\psi_{n+3}]}"] & H_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{[\psi_{n+3}]}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \underline{K}(SA) \arrow[r] & \underline{K}(SA) \oplus H_{n+2} \arrow[r] & H_{n+2} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the assumption that $\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}=0$, θ also gives the following

$$\ker R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}=\ker\rho_A\oplus K_1(C).$$

Define $\theta_n=\theta\circ[\psi_{n+2,\infty}]$ and $\kappa_n=\zeta_n-\theta_n$. Note that

$$\theta_n=\theta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]. \tag{e 7.152}$$

We also have that

$$\zeta_n-\zeta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]=\xi_n. \tag{e 7.153}$$

Since $[\pi_0]\circ(\zeta_n-\theta_n)=0$, κ_n maps H_n into $\underline{K}(SA)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_n-\kappa_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}] &= \zeta_n-\theta_n-\zeta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]+\theta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]\\ &= \zeta_n-\zeta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]=\xi_n\end{aligned} \tag{e 7.154}$$

It follows from 10.3 of [33] that there are integers $N_1\ge 1$, a $\frac{\delta_{n+1}}{4}$-$\psi_{n+1}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L_n:\psi_{n,\infty}(C_{n+1})\to M_{1+N_1}(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2})$, a unital homomorphism $h_0:\psi_{n+1,\infty}(C_{n+1})\to M_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$, and a continuous path of unitaries $\{V_n(t):t\in[0,3/4]\}$ of $M_{1+N_1}(A)$ such that $[L_n]|_{\mathcal{P}'_{n+1}}$ is well defined, $V_n(0)=1_{M_{1+N_1}(A)}$,

$$[L_n\circ\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}_n}=(\theta\circ[\psi_{n+1,\infty}]+[h_0\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}])|_{\mathcal{P}_n}, \tag{e 7.155}$$

$$\pi_t\circ L_n\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}\approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4}\mathrm{ad}\,V_n(t)\circ((\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty})\oplus(h_0\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty})) \tag{e 7.156}$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$ for all $t\in(0,3/4]$,

$$\pi_t\circ L_n\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}\approx_{\delta_{n+1/4}}\mathrm{ad}\,V_n(3/4)\circ((\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty})\oplus(h_0\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty})) \tag{e 7.157}$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$ for all $t\in(3/4,1)$, and

$$\pi_1\circ L_n\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}\approx_{\delta_{n+1}/4}\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}\oplus h_0\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty} \tag{e 7.158}$$

on $\psi_{n+1,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})$, where $\pi_t:M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}\to A$ is the point-evaluation at $t\in(0,1)$.

Note that $R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(\theta(z))=0$ for all $x\in\varphi_{n+1,\infty}(K_1(C_{n+1}))$. As computed in 10.4 of [33],

$$\tau(\log((\varphi_2(z)\oplus h_0(z)^*V_n(3/4)^*(\varphi_1(z)\oplus h_0(z))V_n(3/4)))=0 \tag{e 7.159}$$

for $z=\psi_{n+1,\infty}(y)$, where y is in a set of generators of $K_1(C_{n+1})$ and for all $\tau\in T(A)$.

Define $W'_n=\mathrm{daig}(v_n,1)\in M_{1+N_1}(A)$. Then $\mathrm{Bott}((\varphi_1\oplus h_0)\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty},\,W'_n(V_n(3/4)^*)$ defines a homomorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_n\in\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(C_{n+1}),\underline{K}(SA))$. By (e 7.148)

$$\tau(\log((\varphi_2\oplus h_0)\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j)^*\tilde{V}_n^*(\varphi_1\oplus h_0)\circ\psi_{n+1,\infty}(z_j)\tilde{V}_n))<\delta_{n+1}, \tag{e 7.160}$$

$j=1,2,...,r(n)$, where $\tilde{V}_n=\mathrm{diag}(V_n,1)$. Then, by (e 7.159), using exactly the same argument from (e 7.126)–(e 7.130), we compute that

$$\rho_A(\tilde{\kappa}_n(z_j))(\tau)<\delta_{n+1},\ \ j=1,2,.... \tag{e 7.161}$$

It follows from 6.3 that there is a unitary $w'_n\in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_1(a),w'_n]\|<\delta'_{n+1}/4\ \text{ for all }\ a\in\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n)\ \text{ and} \tag{e 7.162}$$

$$\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},\,w'_n)=-\tilde{\kappa}_n\circ[\psi_n]. \tag{e 7.163}$$

By (e 7.142),

$$\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},\, v_n^*w_n'v_n)|_{\mathcal{P}_n}=-\tilde{\kappa}_n\circ[\psi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n}. \tag{e 7.164}$$

Put $w_n=v_n^*w_n'v_n$.

It follows from 10.6 of [33] that

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_n'))=-\kappa_n\ \text{ and }\ \Gamma(\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_{n+1}'))=-\kappa_{n+1}. \tag{e 7.165}$$

We also have

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},v_nv_{n+1}^*))|_{H_n}=\zeta_n-\zeta_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+2}]=\xi_n. \tag{e 7.166}$$

But, by (e 7.154),

$$(-\kappa_n+\xi_n+\kappa_{n+1}\circ[\psi_{n+1}])=0. \tag{e 7.167}$$

It follows from (e 7.165), (e 7.166), (e 7.167) and 10. 6 of [33]that

$$-\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},\, w_n')+\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},\, v_nv_{n+1}^*)+\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_{n+1}')=0. \tag{e 7.168}$$

Define $u_n=v_nw_n^*$, $n=1,2,....$ Then, by (e 7.143) and (e 7.162),

$$\mathrm{ad}\,u_n\circ\varphi_1\approx_{\delta_n'/2}\varphi_2\ \text{ for all }\ a\in\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{G}_n). \tag{e 7.169}$$

From (e 7.146), (e 7.142) and (e 7.168), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},u_n^*u_{n+1}) \qquad\qquad \tag{e 7.170}\\
&= \mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_nv_n^*v_{n+1}w_{n+1}^*) \tag{e 7.171}\\
&= \mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_n)+\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},v_n^*v_{n+1}) \tag{e 7.172}\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_{n+1}^*) \tag{e 7.173}\\
&= \mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_n')+\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},v_{n+1}v_n^*) \tag{e 7.174}\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},(w_{n+1}')^*) \tag{e 7.175}\\
&= -[-\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_n')+\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},v_nv_{n+1}^*) \tag{e 7.176}\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_1\circ\psi_{n,\infty},w_{n+1})] \tag{e 7.177}\\
&= 0 \tag{e 7.178}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by 2.16, there exists a piece-wise smooth and continuous path of unitaries $\{z_n(t):t\in[0,1]\}$ of A such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&z_n(0)=1,\ \ z_n(1)=u_n^*u_{n+1}\ \text{ and } \tag{e 7.179}\\
&\|[\varphi_2(a),\, z_n(t)]\|<1/2^{n+2}\ \text{ for all }\ a\in\mathcal{F}_n\ \text{ and }\ t\in[0,1]. \tag{e 7.180}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$u(t+n-1)=u_nz_{n+1}(t)\ \ t\in(0,1].$$

Note that $u(n)=u_{n+1}$ for all integer n and $\{u(t):t\in[0,\infty)\}$ is a continuous path of unitaries in A. One estimates that, by (e 7.169) and (e 7.180),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ad}\,u(t+n-1)\circ\varphi_1 &\approx_{\delta_n'} \mathrm{ad}\,z_{n+1}(t)\circ\varphi_2 \tag{e 7.181}\\
&\approx_{1/2^{n+2}} \varphi_2 \qquad\qquad \text{on }\ \mathcal{F}_n \tag{e 7.182}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t\in(0,1)$. It then follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}u^*(t)\varphi_1(a)u(t)=\varphi_2(a)\ \text{ for all }\ a\in C. \tag{e 7.183}$$

□

8 The range of asymptotic unitary equivalence classes

Definition 8.1. Let C be a unital C^*-algebra for which $T(C)\neq\emptyset$. Denote by $T_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ the set of faithful tracial states.

Let A be another unital C^*-algebra with $T(A)\neq\emptyset$. Let $\kappa\in KK_e(A,B)^{++}$, $\gamma: T(A)\to T_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$. We say that γ is compatible with κ if for any projection $p\in M_k(C)$ and $\tau\in T(A)$, $\gamma(\tau)(p)=\tau(\kappa([p]))$. Let $\gamma^*: \mathrm{Aff}(T(C))\to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$ be the continuous affine map induced by γ, i.e.,

$$\gamma^*(f)(\tau)=f(\gamma(\tau)) \text{ for all } f\in \mathrm{Aff}(C(C))$$

and for all $\tau\in T(A)$. If γ is compatible with κ, denote by $\overline{\gamma^*}: \mathrm{Aff}(T(C))/\overline{\rho_C(K_0(C))}\to \mathrm{Aff}(T(A))/\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ the map induced by γ^*. Let $\alpha: U(C)/CU(C)\to U(A)/CU(A)$ be a homomorphism. We say α is compatible with κ, if $\alpha(U_0(C)/CU(C)\subset U_0(A)/CU(A)$ and $q_1\circ\alpha(\bar{u})=\kappa([u])$ for any unitary $u\in U(A)$, where $q_1: U(A)/CU(A)\to K_1(A)$ is the quotient map.

Now uppose that $K_1(C)=U(C)/U_0(A)$ and suppose that A is a unital simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A)\leq 1$. We say α, γ and κ are compatible if α and γ are compatible with κ and

$$\Delta_A\circ\alpha\circ\Delta_C^{-1}=\overline{\gamma^*}.$$

Note that if $u=\prod_{k=1}^m \exp(ih_k)$, where $h_k\in C_{s.a.}$, then $u\in CU(C)$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^m\tau(h_j)=0$ for all $\tau\in T(C)$, $j=1,2,...,m$.

8.2. Let X be a compact metric space and let $C=PM_k(C(X))P$, where $P\in M_k(C(X))$ is a projection, and let A be a unital simple C^*-algebra with stable rank one. Let $\gamma: T(A)\to T_{\mathfrak{f}}(C))$ be a continuous affine map. For any $\tau\in T(A)$, and open subset $O\subset X$, define $\mu_{\gamma(\tau)}(O)=\sup\{\gamma(\tau)(f): 0<f\leq 1 \ \mathrm{supp}(f)\subset O\}$. Since $\gamma(T(A))$ is compact, we conclude that

$$\inf_{\tau\in T(A)}\mu_{\gamma(\tau)}(O)>0$$

for any non-empty open set $O\subset X$.

Fix $a\in(0,1)$. There are finitely many points $x_1,x_2,...,x_m\in X$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^m B(x_i,a/2)\supset X$. Let O_a be an open ball with radius a. Then $O_a\supset B(x_i,a/2)$ for some i. Define

$$\Delta_1(a)=\min_{1\leq i\leq m}\{\inf_{\tau\in T(A)}\mu_{\gamma(\tau)}(B(x_i,a/2))\}.$$

Lemma 8.3. *Let $C\in\mathcal{C}_0$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A)\leq 1$. Suppose that $\kappa\in KK_e(C,A)^{++}$, $\gamma: T(A)\to T_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is a continuous affine map and $\alpha: U(C)/CU(C)\to U(A)/CU(C)$ is a homomorphism for which γ, α and κ are compatible.*

Let $\epsilon_1>0$, $\epsilon_2>0$, $\eta>0$, $\mathcal{H}\subset C_{s.a}$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{U}\subset U(M_\infty(C))$ be a finite subset. Then there exists a unital monomorphism $h: C\to A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
[h] &= \kappa \text{ in } KK(C,A), && \text{(e 8.184)}\\
\sup_{\tau\in T(A)}|\tau\circ h(a)-\gamma(\tau)(a)| &< \epsilon_1 \text{ for all } a\in\mathcal{H}, \text{ and}, && \text{(e 8.185)}\\
\mathrm{dist}(h^{\ddagger}(\bar{z}),\alpha(\bar{z})) &< \epsilon_2 \text{ for all } z\in\mathcal{U}, && \text{(e 8.186)}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we may also require that

$$\mu_{\tau\circ h}(O_a)\geq 3\Delta_1(a)/4 \text{ for all } a\geq\eta$$

for all $\tau\in T(A)$, where O_a is an open ball of X with radius a and $\Delta_1:(0,1)\to(0,1)$ is given in 8.2 and where the measure $\mu_{\tau\circ h}$ is defined as in 10.9 of [36].

Proof. The most part of the proof of this lemma is contained in that of 5.4. For the convenience of the reader, we present the proof below.

As in the proof of 6.3, there is an unital embedding $\imath : B \to A$, where B is a unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(B) = 0$, such that $[\imath] \in KK_e(B, A)^{++}$ is an invertible element. Therefore there is $\kappa_0 : KK_e(C, B)^{++}$ such that

$$\kappa = [\imath] \times \kappa_0 \text{ in } KK(C, A).$$

We write $C = PM_r(C(X))P$, where X is a connected finite CW complex as described in 5.3, $r \geq 6$ and $P \in M_r(C(X))$ is projection.

Let $\epsilon_1 > 0$, $\epsilon_2 > 0$, κ, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be given. We may assume that $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2/2\pi$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ the image of $\mathcal{U}$ in $U(C)/CU(C)$. Write $U(C)/CU(C) = U_0(C)/CU(C) \oplus K_1(C)$. Let $\pi_1 : U(C)/CU(C) \to U_0(C)/CU(C)$ and $\pi_2 : U(C)/CU(C) \to K_1(C)$ be two fixed projection maps. Let $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset C_{s.a}$ be a finite subset so that the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_0}$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(T(C))/\overline{\rho_C(K_0(C))}$ containing $\Delta_C(\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{U}}))$. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}_0$. To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may also assume that $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \cup \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by Lemma 7.4 of [31] for $\epsilon_2/2$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{U}$ and α.

By 6.2, there is a projection $p_0 \in A$, a finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra $F \subset A$ with $1_F = 1 - p_0$ and unital monomorphism $h_0 : C \to p_0 A p_0$ and a unital homomorphism $h_1 : C \to F$ such that

$$[h_0 + h_1] = \kappa \text{ and } \tau(p_0) < \min\{\epsilon_1/4, \delta_1/4\} \tag{e 8.187}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It is easy to find a projection $e_0 \in (1 - p_0)A(1 - p_0)$ such that e_0 commutes with every element in F and

$$\tau(e_0) < \min\{\epsilon_1/4, \delta_1/4\} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A). \tag{e 8.188}$$

Let $h_{1,0} = e_0 h_1$ and $h_{1,1} = (1 - p_0 - e_0)h_1$.

It follows from Lemma 9.5 of [31] that there is a C^*-subalgebra $B_0 \subset (1-p_0-e_0)A(1-p_0-e_0)$ for which $B_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ and there exists a unital homomorphism $h_2 : C \to B_0$ such that

$$(h_2)_{*0} = (h_{1,1})_{*0} \text{ and } |\tau \circ h_2(f) - \tau(1 - p_0 - e_0)\gamma(\tau)(f)| < \epsilon_1/4 \tag{e 8.189}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Now define $\varphi_0 = h_{1,0}$ and $\varphi_1 = h_0 \oplus h_2$. Note that φ_0 is homotopically trivial. By applying Lemma 7.4 of [31], we obtain a unital homomorphism $\Phi : C \to e_0 A e_0$ such that

$$\Phi_{*0} = \varphi_{*0}, \;\; \alpha(\bar{w})^{-1}(\Phi \oplus \varphi_1)^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}) = \overline{g_w}, \tag{e 8.190}$$

where $g_w \in U_0(A)$ and $\mathrm{cel}(g_w) < \epsilon_2/2$ for all $\bar{w} \in \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$ and Φ is homotopically trivial. Now define $h = \Phi + \varphi_1$. Then clearly

$$[h] = [h_0 + h_1] = \kappa \text{ in } KK(C, A). \tag{e 8.191}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau \circ h(f) - \gamma(\tau)(f)| &< |\tau \circ h(f) - \tau(1 - p_0 - e_0)\gamma(\tau)(f)| + \epsilon_1/2 \qquad \text{(e 8.192)} \\ &< \epsilon_1/4 + \epsilon_1/2 < 3\epsilon_1/4 \quad \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \qquad \text{(e 8.193)} \end{aligned}$$

and for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In particular, for all $x \in \Delta_C^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}_0})$,

$$\mathrm{dist}(h^{\ddagger}(x), \alpha(x)) < \epsilon_2 \tag{e 8.194}$$

(see 2.2). Combining this with (e 8.190), we have, for all $w \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\mathrm{dist}(h^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}), \alpha(\bar{w})) < \epsilon_2. \tag{e 8.195}$$

We note that the last part of the lemma follows by choosing smaller ϵ_1 and larger $\mathcal{H}$.

□

Lemma 8.4. *Let $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\kappa \in KK_e(C, A)^{++}$, $\gamma : T(A) \to T_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is a continuous affine map and $\alpha : U(C)/CU(C) \to U(A)/CU(C)$ is a homomorphism for which γ, α and κ are compatible. Then there exists a unital monomorphism $h : C \to A$ such that*

$$[h] = \kappa \text{ in } KK(C, A), \tau \circ h(f) = f(\gamma(\tau)) \textit{ for all } f \in C_{s,a} \textit{ and } h^{\ddagger} = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $\Delta = \Delta_1/2$ be as in 8.2 associated with γ.

Let $\{\epsilon_n\}$ be a sequence of decreasing positive numbers with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$, $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $C_{s.a}$ such that the union is dense in $A_{s.a.}$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $U(C)$ such that the union is dense in $U(C)$. Let $\{\eta_n\}$ be another sequence of decreasing positive numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \eta_n = 0$.

It follows from 8.3 that there exists a sequence of unital monomorphisms $h_n : C \to A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [h_n] &= \kappa \text{ in } KK(C, A), & \text{(e 8.196)} \\ |\tau \circ h_n(f) - \gamma(\tau)(f)| &< \epsilon_n & \text{(e 8.197)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$, and

$$\mu_{\tau \circ h_n}(O_a) \geq \Delta(a) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \tag{e 8.198}$$

and for any open ball with radius $a \geq \eta_n$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{dist}(h_n^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}), \alpha(\bar{w})) < \epsilon_n \tag{e 8.199}$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{U}_n$.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C such that the union is dense in C. It follows from 10.10 of [36] that there exists a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ and a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ h_{n(k+1)} \approx_{1/2^k} \mathrm{ad}\, u_{k-1} \circ h_{n(k)} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_k, \tag{e 8.200}$$

$n = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that $\{\mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ h_{n(k+1)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore it converges. Let h be the limit. It is then easy to check that h meets all requirements.

□

Lemma 8.5. *Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\kappa \in KL_e(C, A)^{++}$, $\gamma : T(A) \to T(C)$ is a continuous affine map and $\alpha : U(C)/CU(C) \to U(A)/CU(A)$ is a homomorphism for which γ, α and κ are compatible. Then there exists a unital homomorphism $h : C \to A$ such that*

$$[h] = \kappa \text{ in } KL(C, A), h_T = \gamma(\tau) \textit{ and } h^{\ddagger} = \alpha.$$

Proof. We may write that $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \varphi_{n,n+1})$, where C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ and each φ_n is unital and injective.

Let $\kappa_n = \kappa \circ [\varphi_{n,\infty}]$, $\alpha_n = \alpha \circ \varphi_n^{\ddagger}$ and $\gamma_n = (\varphi_{n,\infty})_T \circ \gamma$, where $[\varphi_{n,\infty}] \in KK(C_n, C)$, $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{\ddagger} : U(C_n)/CU(C_n) \to U(A)/CU(A)$ and $(\varphi_{n,\infty})_T$ are induced by $\varphi_{n,\infty}$.

It follows from 8.4 that there are unital monomorphisms $\psi_n : C_n \to A$ such that

$$[\psi_n] = \kappa_n \text{ in } KK(C_n, A), \ \psi_n^{\ddagger} = \alpha_n \text{ and} \tag{e 8.201}$$

$$(\psi_n)_T = \gamma_n. \tag{e 8.202}$$

In particular,

$$[\psi_{n+1} \circ \varphi_{n,n+1}] = \kappa_n, \ \psi_{n+1}^{\ddagger} \circ \varphi_{n,n+1}^{\ddagger} = \psi_n^{\ddagger} \text{ and } (\psi_{n+1} \circ \varphi_{n,n+1})_T = (\psi_n)_T. \tag{e 8.203}$$

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of C whose union is dense in C. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_n' \subset C_n$ such that $\varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F}_n') = \mathcal{F}_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note that $\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathcal{F}_n') \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}'$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

It follows from Corollary 11.7 of [36] that there is a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$, a sequence of unitaries $\{u_k\} \subset A$ such that

$$\mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ \psi_{n(k+1)} \circ \varphi_{n(k),n(k+1)} \approx_{1/2^k} \mathrm{ad}\, u_{n-1} \circ \psi_{n(k)} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{n(k)}', \tag{e 8.204}$$

$k = 1, 2, ...$

Thus one obtains a unital homomorphism $h : C \to A$ so that

$$h(f) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_k \circ \psi_{n(k),n(k+1)} \circ \varphi_{m,n(k)}(f) \tag{e 8.205}$$

for all $f \in C_m$, $m = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that

$$[h] = \kappa \ h^{\ddagger} = \alpha \text{ and} \tag{e 8.206}$$

$$\tau \circ h(f) = f(\gamma(\tau)) \tag{e 8.207}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$ and all $f \in C_{s.a.}$.

□

Theorem 8.6. *Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\kappa \in KK_e(C, A)^{++}$, $\gamma : T(A) \to T(C)$ is a continuous affine map and $\alpha : U(C)/CU(C) \to U(A)/CU(A)$ is a homomorphism for which γ, α and κ are compatible. Then there exists a unital homomorphism $h : C \to A$ such that*

$$[h] = \kappa \text{ in } KK(C, A), \tau \circ h(f) = f(\gamma(\tau)) \textit{ for all } f \in C_{s,a} \textit{ and } h^{\ddagger} = \alpha.$$

Proof. Denote by $\overline{\kappa}$ the image of κ in $KL(C, A)$. It follows from 8.5 that there is unital monomorphism $\varphi : C \to A$ such that

$$[\varphi] = \overline{\kappa}, \ \varphi^{\ddagger} = \alpha \text{ and} \tag{e 8.208}$$

$$\tau \circ \varphi(c) = \gamma(\tau)(c) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \tag{e 8.209}$$

and for all $c \in C_{s.a.}$. Regarding $\varphi(C)$ as a unital C^*-subalgebra of A. It follows from Theorem 5.4 of [31] that A is tracially approximately divisible. Note, by the UCT, that $\kappa - [\varphi] \in Pext(K_*(C), K_{*+1}(A))$. It follow from 6.4 that A has property (B1) and property (B2) associated with C. By Theorem 3.15 of [39], there is a unital monomorphism ψ_0 and a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$[\psi_0 \circ \varphi] - [\varphi] = \kappa - [\varphi] \text{ in } KK(C, A) \text{ and } \tag{e 8.210}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} u_n \circ \psi(c) = \psi_0 \circ \varphi(c) \text{ for all } c \in C. \tag{e 8.211}$$

Define $h = \psi_0 \circ \varphi$. Then $[h] = \kappa$ in $KK(C, A)$. By (e 8.211, we still have that

$$h^{\ddagger} = \psi^{\ddagger} \text{ and } \tau \circ h(c) = \gamma(\tau)(c)$$

for all $c \in C_{s.a.}$ and for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

□

9 Rotation maps

The following follows from a result of K. Thomsen.

Lemma 9.1. *Let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $u \in CU(A)$. Then, for any piece-wise smooth continuous path $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ with $u(0) = u$ and $u(1) = 1_A$,*

$$R_A(\{u(t)\}) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))} \text{ in } \mathit{Aff}(T(A)).$$

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.5 of [38] that the map $j : u \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(u, 1, 1, ..., 1)$ from $U(A)$ into $U(M_n(A))$ induces an isomorphism from $U(A)/CU(A)$ to $U(M_n(A))/CU(M_n(A))$. Then the lemma follows from Lemma 3.1 of [45] (see also Theorem 3.2 of [45]).

□

Lemma 9.2. *Let A be a unital simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Let C be a unital separable C^*-algebra with $K_1(C) = U(C)/U_0(C)$. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : C \to A$ are two unital monomorphisms such that*

$$[\varphi] = [\psi] \text{ in } KK(C, A), \tag{e 9.212}$$

$$\varphi_T = \psi_T \text{ and } \varphi^{\ddagger} = \psi^{\ddagger}. \tag{e 9.213}$$

Then

$$R_{\varphi,\psi} \in \mathit{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}).$$

Proof. Let $z \in K_1(C)$ be represented by a unitary u. Then by (e 9.213),

$$\varphi(u)\psi(u)^* \in CU(A).$$

Suppose that $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a piece-wise smooth continuous path in $U(A)$ such that $u(0) = \varphi(u)$ and $u(1) = \psi(u)$. Put $w(t) = \psi(u)^* u(t)$. Then $w(0) = \psi(u)^*\varphi(u) \in CU(A)$ and $w(1) = 1_A$. Thus

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(z)(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{du(t)}{dt}u^*(t))dt \tag{e 9.214}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \tau(\psi(u)^*\frac{du(t)}{dt}u^*(t)\psi(u))dt \tag{e 9.215}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{dw(t)}{dt}w(t))dt \tag{e 9.216}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. By 9.1,

$$R_{\varphi,\psi}(z) \in \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}.$$

It follows that $R_{\varphi,\psi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})$.

□

Definition 9.3. Let A be unital C^*-algebra, let $C \subset A$ be a unital C^*-subalgebra. Denote by $\overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(C, A)$ the set of those monomorphisms ψ for which there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \in A$ such that

$$\psi(c) = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n^* c u_n \ \text{ for all } \ c \in C.$$

Theorem 9.4. (Theorem 4.2 of [39]) *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra, let C be a unital separable simple C^*-subalgebra of A and denote by $\imath$ the embedding. Suppose that A has a positive element $b \in A$ with $sp(b) = [0, 1]$, that A has property (B1) and property (B2) associated with C (see 4.4 of [39]). For any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_0(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})$, there exists $\varphi \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(C, A)$ such that there are homomorphisms $\theta_i : K_i(C) \to K_i(M_{\imath,\varphi})$ with $(\pi_0)_{*i} \circ \theta_i = \mathrm{id}_{K_i(C)}$, $i = 0, 1$, and the rotation map $R_{\imath,\varphi} : K_1(C) \to \mathit{Aff}(T(A))$ is given by*

$$R_{\imath,\varphi}(x) = \rho_A(c - \theta_1((\pi_0)_{*1}(x))) + \lambda \circ (\pi_0)_{*1}(x))) \ \textit{for all} \ x \in K_1(M_{\imath,\alpha}). \qquad (e\,9.217)$$

In other words,

$$[\varphi] = [\imath] \ \text{ in } \ KK(C, A),$$

and the rotation map $R_{\imath,\varphi} : K_1(M_{\imath,\varphi}) \to \mathit{Aff}(T(A))$ is given by

$$R_{\imath,\varphi}(a, b) = \rho_A(a) + \lambda(b)$$

for some identification of $K_1(M_{\imath,\varphi})$ with $K_0(A) \oplus K_1(C)$.

Proof. This is proved in Theorem 4.2 of [39]. In the assumption of Theorem 4.2 of [39], it is assumed that $\rho_A(K_0(A))$ is dense in $\mathrm{Aff}(T(A))$. However, in fact, it is $\lambda(K_1(C)) \subset \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ that is used.

□

Definition 9.5. Let A be a unital C*-algebra, and let C be a unital separable C^*-algebra. Denote by $\mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A)$ the set of asymptotically unitary equivalence classes of unital monomorphisms from C into A. Denote by $\boldsymbol{K}$ the map from $\mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A)$ into $KK_e(C, A)^{++}$ defined by

$$\varphi \mapsto [\varphi] \ \text{ for all } \ \varphi \in \mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A).$$

Let $\kappa \in KK_e(C, A)^{++}$. Denote by $\langle \kappa \rangle$ the classes of $\varphi \in \mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A)$ such that $\boldsymbol{K}(\varphi) = \kappa$.

Denote by $KKUT_e(A, B)^{++}$ the set of triples (κ, α, γ) for which $\kappa \in KK_e(A, B)^{++}$, $\alpha : U(A)/CU(A) \to U(B)/CU(B)$ is a homomorphism and $\gamma : T(B) \to T(A)$ is an affine continuous map and α, γ and κ are compatible. Denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}$ the map from $\mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A)$ into $KKUT(C, A)^{++}$ defined by

$$\varphi \mapsto ([\varphi], \varphi^{\ddagger}, \varphi_T) \ \text{ for all } \ \varphi \in \mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A).$$

Denote by $\langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle$ the subset of $\varphi \in \mathrm{Mon}_{asu}^e(C, A)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\varphi) = (\kappa,\ \alpha,\ \gamma)$.

Theorem 9.6. *Let C and A be two unital separable simple amenable C^*-algebras with $TR(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 : A \to B$ are three unital monomorphisms for which*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] = [\varphi_3] \text{ in } KK(A, B) \tag{e 9.218}$$

$$(\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T = (\varphi_3)_T \tag{e 9.219}$$

Then

$$\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} + \overline{R}_{\varphi_2,\varphi_3} = \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_3}. \tag{e 9.220}$$

Proof. Let $\theta_1 : K_1(C) \to K_1(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2})$ such that $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta_1 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(C)}$ and let $\theta_2 : K_1(C) \to K_1(M_{\varphi_2,\varphi_3})$ such that $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta_2 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(C)}$. Define $\theta_3 : K_1(C) \to K_1(M_{\varphi_1,\alpha\circ\varphi_2})$ as follows:

Let $k > 0$ be an integer and $u \in M_k(C)$ be a unitary.

We may assume that there is a unitary $w(t) \in M_k(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2})$ such that

$$w(0) = \varphi_1(u'), w(1) = \varphi_2(u'), [u'] = [u] \text{ in } K_1(A) \tag{e 9.221}$$

$$\text{and } \theta_1([u]) = [w(t)] \text{ in } K_1(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}) \tag{e 9.222}$$

for some unitary $u' \in M_k(C)$. To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that there are $h_1, h_2, ..., h_n \in M_k(C)_{s.a.}$ such that

$$u^*u' = \prod_{j=1}^n \exp(ih_j).$$

Define $z(t) = u\prod_{j=1}^n \exp(h_j t)$ $(t \in [0,1])$. Consider $\{\varphi_1(z(t)) : t \in [0,1]\}$. Then

$$\varphi_1(z(0)) = \varphi_1(u) \text{ and } \varphi_1(z(1)) = \varphi_1(u').$$

Moreover, (by Lemma 3.1 of [35], for example),

$$\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{d\varphi_1(z(t))}{dt}\varphi_1(z(t))^*)dt = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(\varphi_1(h_j))$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Consider $Z(t) = \varphi_2(z(1-t))$. Then

$$Z(0) = \varphi_2(u') \text{ and } Z(1) = \varphi_2(u).$$

$$\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{d\varphi_2(z(1-t))}{dt}\varphi_2(z(1-t))^*)dt = -\sum_{j=1}^n \tau(\varphi_2(h_j))$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Note that

$$\tau(\varphi_2(h_j)) = \tau(\varphi_1(h_j)) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B), \ j = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{d\varphi_1(z(t))}{dt}\varphi_1(u(t))^*)dt + \int_0^1 \tau(\frac{d\varphi_2(z(1-t))}{dt}\varphi_2(z(1-t))^*)dt = 0$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $u = u'$ in (e 9.221). We may also assume that both paths are piecewise smooth.

We may also assume that there is a unitary $s(t) \in M_k(M_{\varphi_2,\varphi_3})$ such that

$$s(0) = \varphi_2(u), s(1) = \varphi_3(u) \text{ in } K_1(A) \tag{e 9.223}$$

$$\text{and } \theta_2([u]) = [s(t)] \text{ in } K_1(M_{\varphi_2,\varphi_3}). \tag{e 9.224}$$

Define $\theta_3([u]) = [v]$, where

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} w(2t) \text{ if } t \in [0, 1/2) \\ s(2(t - 1/2)) \text{ if } t \in [1/2, 1], \end{cases} \tag{e 9.225}$$

Thus θ_3 gives a homomorphism from $K_1(A)$ to $K_1(M_{\varphi_1,\varphi_3})$ such that $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta_3 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(A)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_3}(\theta_3([u]))(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^1 \tau(\frac{dv(t)}{dt}v(t)^*)dt && \text{(e 9.226)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^{1/2} \tau(\frac{dw(2t)}{dt}w(2t)^*)dt + && \text{(e 9.227)} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{1/2}^1 \tau(\frac{ds(2(t-1/2))}{dt}s(2(t-1/2))^*)dt && \text{(e 9.228)} \\ &= R_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \circ \theta_0([u])(\tau) + R_{\varphi_2,\varphi_3} \circ \theta_1([u])(\tau) && \text{(e 9.229)} \\ & && \text{(e 9.230)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in T(B)$. Thus (e 9.220) holds.

□

Lemma 9.7. *Let A and let B be two unital separable simple amenable C^*-algebras with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are two unital monomorphisms such that*

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(A, B) \text{ and } (\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T.$$

Suppose that $(\varphi_2)_T : T(B) \to T(A)$ is an affine homeomorphism. Suppose also that $\alpha \in Aut(B)$ with

$$[\alpha] = [\mathrm{id}_B] \text{ in } KK(B, B) \text{ and } \alpha_T = \mathrm{id}_T.$$

Then

$$\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\alpha\circ\varphi_2} = \overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\alpha} \circ (\varphi_2)_{*1} + \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} \tag{e 9.231}$$

in $\mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \mathit{Aff}(T(B)))/\mathcal{R}_0$.

Proof. By 9.6, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\alpha\circ\varphi_2} &= \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} + \overline{R}_{\varphi_2,\alpha\circ\varphi_2} && \text{(e 9.232)} \\ &= \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} + \overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\alpha} \circ (\varphi_2)_{*1}. && \text{(e 9.233)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9.8. *Let $C \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital simple C^*-algebra with $TR(C) \le 1$ and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(A) \le 1$. Then the map $\mathfrak{K} : \mathrm{Mon}^e_{asu}(C, A) \to KKUT(C, A)^{++}$ is surjective. Moreover, for each $(\kappa, \alpha, \gamma) \in KKUT(C, A)^{++}$, there exists a bijection*

$$\eta : \langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})/\mathcal{R}_0.$$

Proof. It follows from 8.6 that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}$ is surjective.

Fix a triple $(\kappa, \alpha, \gamma) \in KKT(C, A)^{++}$ and choose a unital monomorphism $\varphi : C \to A$ such that $[\varphi] = \kappa$, $\varphi^{\ddagger} = \alpha$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{T}} = \gamma$. If $\varphi_1 : C \to A$ is another unital monomorphism such that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\varphi_1) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\varphi)$. Then by 9.2,

$$\overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})/\mathcal{R}_0.$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})$ be a homomorphism. It follows from 6.4 that A has property (B1) and property (B2) associated with C. By applying 9.4, we obtain a unital monomorphism $\psi \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(\varphi(C), A)$ with $[\psi \circ \varphi] = [\varphi]$ in $KK(C, A)$ such that there exists a homomorphism $\theta : K_1(C) \to K_1(M_{\varphi,\psi\circ\varphi})$ with $(\pi_0)_{*1} \circ \theta = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(C)}$ for which $R_{\varphi,\psi\circ\varphi} \circ \theta = \lambda$. Let $\beta = \psi \circ \varphi$. Then $R_{\varphi,\beta} \circ \theta = \lambda$. Note also since $\psi \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(\varphi(C), A)$, $\beta^{\ddagger} = \varphi^{\ddagger}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{T}} = \varphi_{\mathrm{T}}$. In particular, $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\beta) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\varphi)$.

Thus we obtain a well-defined and surjective map

$$\eta : \langle [\varphi], \varphi^{\ddagger}, \varphi_T \rangle \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})/\mathcal{R}_0.$$

To see it is one to one, let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ be two unital monomorphisms in $\langle [\varphi], \varphi^{\ddagger}, \varphi_T \rangle$ such that

$$\overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_1} = \overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_2}.$$

Then, by 9.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} &= \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi} + \overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_2} \qquad &\text{(e 9.234)}\\ &= -\overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_1} + \overline{R}_{\varphi,\varphi_2} = 0. \qquad &\text{(e 9.235)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from 7.2 that φ_1 and φ_2 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent.

□

Definition 9.9. Denote by $KKUT_e^{-1}(A, A)^{++}$ the subgroup of those elements $\langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle \in KKUT_e(A, A)^{++}$ for which $\kappa|_{K_i(A)}$ is an isomorphism ($i = 0, 1$), α is an isomorphism and γ is a affine homeomorphism. Denote by $\eta_{\mathrm{id}_A} = \eta|_{\langle [\mathrm{id}_A], \mathrm{id}_A^{\ddagger}, (\mathrm{id}_A)_T \rangle}$.

Denote by $\langle \mathrm{id}_A \rangle$ the class of those automorphisms ψ which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent to id_A. Note that, if $\psi \in \langle \mathrm{id}_A \rangle$, then ψ is *asymptotically inner*, i.e., there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{t\to\infty} u(t)^* a u(t) \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A.$$

Corollary 9.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{N}$ be a unital simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Then one has the following short exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(A), \overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))})/\mathcal{R}_0 \overset{\eta_{\mathrm{id}_A}^{-1}}{\to} \mathrm{Aut}(A)/\langle \mathrm{id}_A \rangle \overset{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}}{\to} KKUT_e^{-1}(A, A)^{++} \to 0. \tag{e 9.236}$$

In particular, if $\varphi, \psi \in Aut(A)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\varphi) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\psi) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\mathrm{id}_A),$$

Then

$$\eta_{\mathrm{id}_A}(\varphi \circ \psi) = \eta_{\mathrm{id}_A}(\varphi) + \eta_{\mathrm{id}_A}(\psi).$$

Proof. It follows from 8.6 that, for any $\langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle$, there is a unital monomorphism $h : A \to A$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(h) = \langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle$. The fact that $\kappa \in KK_e^{-1}(A, A)^{++}$ implies that there is $\kappa_1 \in KK_e^{-1}(A, A)^{++}$ such that

$$\kappa \times \kappa_1 = \kappa_1 \times \kappa = [\mathrm{id}_A].$$

By 8.6, choose $h_1 : A \to A$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(h) = \langle \kappa_1, \alpha^{-1}, \gamma^{-1} \rangle.$$

It follows from Corollary 11.7 of [36] that $h \circ h_1$ and $h \circ h_1$ are approximately unitarily equivalent. Applying a standard approximate intertwining argument of G. A. Elliott, one obtains two isomorphisms φ and φ^{-1} such that there is a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\}$ in A such that

$$\varphi(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_{2n+1} \circ h(a) \ \text{ and } \ \varphi^{-1}(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_{2n} \circ h_1(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. Thus $[\varphi] = [h]$ in $KL(A, A)$ and $\varphi^{\ddagger} = h^{\ddagger}$ and $\varphi_T = h_T$. Then, as in the proof of 8.6, there is $\psi_0 \in \overline{Inn}(A, A)$ such that $[\psi_0 \circ \varphi] = [\mathrm{id}_A]$ in $KK(A, A)$ as well as $(\psi_0 \circ \varphi)^{\ddagger} = h^{\ddagger}$ and $(\psi_0 \circ \varphi)_T = h_T$. So we have $h \in \overline{Aut(A, A)}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(h) = \langle \kappa, \alpha, \gamma \rangle$.

Now let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_1(C), \overline{\mathrm{Aff}(T(A))})/\mathcal{R}_0$. The proof 9.8 says that there is $\psi_{00} \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(A, A)$ (in place of ψ) such that $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\psi_{00} \circ \mathrm{id}_A) = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{K}}(\mathrm{id}_A)$ and

$$\overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_A, \psi_{00}} = \lambda.$$

Note that ψ_{00} is again an automorphism.

The last part of the lemma then follows from 9.7.

□

10 Strong asymptotic unitary equivalence

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ be two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. A natural question is: Can one find a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of A such that

$$u_0 = 1_A \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A?$$

It is known (see section 10 of [33]) that, in general, unfortunately, the answer is negative.

Definition 10.1. (Definition 12.1 of [33]) Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are two unital homomorphisms. We say that φ_1, φ_2 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent if there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ad}\, u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \ \text{ for all } \ a.$$

Definition 10.2. (Definition 10.2 of [33] and see also [29]) Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and B be another C^*-algebra. Recall ([29]) that

$$H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B)) = \{x \in K_1(B) : \varphi([1_A]) = x, \ \varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_0(A), K_1(B))\}.$$

Let us quote the following:

Proposition 10.3. (Proposition 12.3 of [33]) *Let A be a unital separable C^*-algebra and let B be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $\varphi : A \to B$ is a unital homomorphism and $u \in U(B)$ is a unitary. Suppose that there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset B$ such that*

$$u(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} u(t) \circ \varphi(a) = \operatorname{ad} u \circ \varphi(a) \tag{e 10.237}$$

for all $a \in A$. Then

$$[u] \in H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B)).$$

Lemma 10.4. *Let $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \psi_n)$, where C_n is a finite direct sum of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_{00}$ and ψ_n is unital and injective, and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ are two monomorphisms such that there is an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_n \subset C$ whose union is dense in C, an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ of $K_1(C)$ whose union if $K_1(C)$, a sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_n\}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < 1$ and a sequence of unitaries $\{u_n\} \subset A$, such that*

$$\operatorname{ad} u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and} \tag{e 10.238}$$

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, u_n^* u_{n+1})(x) = 0 \textit{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P}_n. \tag{e 10.239}$$

Then, we may further require that $u_n \in U_0(A)$, if $H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A)) = K_1(A)$.

Proof. Let $x_n = [u_n]$ in $K_1(A)$. Then, since $K_1(A) = H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A))$, there is a homomorphism $\kappa_{n,0} : K_0(C) \to K_1(A)$ such that $\kappa_{n,0}([1_C]) = -x_n$. By the Universal Coefficient Theorem, there is $\kappa_n \in KK(C, A)$ such that

$$(\kappa_n)|_{K_0(C)} = \kappa_{n,0} \text{ and } (\kappa_n)|_{K_1(C)} = 0. \tag{e 10.240}$$

There is, for each n, a positive number $\eta_n < \delta_n$, such that

$$\operatorname{ad} u_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\eta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n. \tag{e 10.241}$$

It follows from 6.3 that, there is a unitary $w_n \in U(A)$ such that

$$\|[\varphi_2(a), w_n]\| < (\delta_n - \eta_n)/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and} \tag{e 10.242}$$

$$\mathrm{Bott}(\varphi_2, w_n) = \kappa_n. \tag{e 10.243}$$

Put $v_n = u_n w_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Then, we have

$$\operatorname{ad} v_n \circ \varphi_1 \approx_{\delta_n} \varphi_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_n \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_A(\mathrm{bott}_1(\varphi_2, v_n^* v_{n+1})) = 0 \text{ and } [v_n] = [u_n] - x_n = 0.$$

□

Theorem 10.5. *Let C be as in 10.4 and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Suppose that $H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A)) = K_1(A)$ and suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ are two unital monomorphisms which are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that*

$$u(0) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} u(t) \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in C.$$

Proof. By 4.2, we must have

$$[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2] \text{ in } KK(C, A), \ \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$(\varphi_1)_T = (\varphi_2)_T.$$

By 10.4, in the proof of 7.2, we may assume that $v_n \in U_0(A)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that $\xi_n([1_C]) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Therefore $\kappa_n([1_C]) = 0$. This implies that $\gamma_n \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}([1_C]) = 0$. Hence $w_n \in U_0(A)$. It follows that $u_n \in U_0(A)$. Therefore there is a continuous path of unitary $\{U(t) : t \in [-1, 0]\}$ in A such that

$$U(-1) = 1_A \text{ and } U(0) = u(0).$$

The theorem then follows.

□

Corollary 10.6. *Let C be as in 10.4 and let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$. Let $\varphi : C \to B$ be a unital monomorphism and let $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{U(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset A$ such that*

$$U(0) = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} U(t) \circ \varphi(a) = \operatorname{ad} u \circ \varphi(a) \text{ for all } a \in C$$

if and only if $[u] \in H_1(K_0(C), K_1(A))$.

Proof. This follows from 10.3 and the proof of 10.5. □

Corollary 10.7. *Let C be as in 10.4 with $K_0(C) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [1_C] \oplus G$ and let B be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(B) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to B$ are two unital monomorphisms such that*

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi_1] &= [\varphi_2] \textit{ in } KK(C, B), \ \overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} = 0 \text{ and} && \text{(e 10.244)} \\ (\varphi_1)_T &= (\varphi_2)_T \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B). && \text{(e 10.245)} \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ of B such that

$$u_0 = 1_B \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{ad} u_t \circ \varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Proof. Let $x \in K_1(B)$. Then one defines $\gamma : K_0(C) \to K_1(B)$ by $\gamma([1_C]) = x$ and $\gamma|_G = 0$. This implies that

$$H_1(K_0(C), K_1(B)) = K_1(B).$$

Thus the corollary follows from 10.5. □

11 Classification of separable simple amenable C^*-algebras

11.1. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a supernatural number. Denote by $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the UHF-algebra associated with $\mathfrak{p}$ (see [6]). Denote by Q the UHF-algebra with $K_0(Q) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $[1_Q] = 1$.

Lemma 11.2. *Let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $TR(A) \le 1$ and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a supernatural number of infinite type. Then the homomorphism $\imath : a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ induces an isomorphism from $U_0(A)/CU(A)$ to $U_0(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*

Proof. There are sequences of positive integers $\{m(n)\}$ and $\{k(n)\}$ such that $A\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A\otimes M_{m(n)}, \imath_n)$, where

$$\imath_n : M_{m(n)}(A) \to M_{m(n+1)}(A)$$

is defined by $\imath(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{a, a, ..., a}^{k(n)})$ for all $a \in M_{m(n)}(A)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note, by Theorem 5.8 of [20], $TR(M_{m(n)}(A)) \le 1$. Let $j_n : U(M_{m(n)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n)}(A))) \to U(M_{m(n+1)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n+1)}(A))$ be defined by

$$j_n(\bar{u}) = \overline{\mathrm{diag}(u, \underbrace{1, 1, ..., 1}_{k(n)-1})} \text{ for all } u \in U((M_{m(n)}(A)).$$

It follows from Theorem 6.7 of [31] and Corollary 3.5 of [38] that j_n is an isomorphism. By Lemma 6.6 of [31], $U_0(M_{m(n)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n)}(A))$ is divisible. For each n and i, there is a unitary $U_i \in M_{m(n+1)}(A)$ such that

$$U_i^* E_{1,1} U_i = E_{i,i}, \;\; i = 2, 3, ..., k(n),$$

where $E_{i,i} = \sum_{j=(i-1)m(n)+1}^{im(n)} e_{i,i}$ and $\{e_{i,j}\}$ is a matrix unit for $M_{m(n+1)}$. Then

$$\imath_n(u) = u' U_2^* u' U_2 \cdots U_{k(n)}^* u' U_{k(n)},$$

where $u' = \mathrm{diag}(u, \overbrace{1, 1, ..., 1})$, for all $u \in M_{m(n)}(A)$. Thus

$$\imath_n^{\ddagger}(\bar{u}) = k(n) j_n(\bar{u}).$$

It follows that $\imath_n^{\ddagger}|_{U_0(M_{m(n)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n)}(A))}$ is injective, since $U_0(M_{m(n+1)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n+1)}(A))$ is torsion free (see Theorem 6.11 of [31]). For each $z \in U_0(M_{m(n+1)}(A)/CU(M_{m(n+1)}))$, there is a unitary $v \in M_{m(n+1)}(A)$ such that

$$j_n(\bar{v}) = z,$$

since j_n is an isomorphism. By the divisibility of $U_0(M_{m(n)}(A)/CU(M_{m(n)}))$, there is $u \in M_{m(n)}(A)$ such that

$$\overline{u^{k(n)}} = \overline{u}^{k(n)} = \overline{v}.$$

As above,

$$\imath_n^{\ddagger}(\bar{u}) = k(n) j_n(\bar{v}) = z.$$

So $\imath_n^{\ddagger}|_{U_0(M_{m(n)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n)}(A))}$ is a surjective. It follows that $\imath_{1,\infty}^{\ddagger}|_{U_0(M_{m(n)}(A))/CU(M_{m(n)}(A))}$ is also an isomorphism. One then concludes that $\imath^{\ddagger}|_{U_0(A)/CU(A)}$ is an isomorphism.

$\square$

Lemma 11.3. *Let A and B be two unital separable simple C^*-algebras with $TR(A) \le 1$. Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be an isomorphism and let $\beta : B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}} \to B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be an automorphism such that $\beta_{*1} = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ for some supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$ of infinite type. Then*

$$\psi^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)) = (\varphi_0)^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)) = U(B)/CU(B),$$

where $\varphi_0 = \imath \circ \varphi$, $\psi = \beta \circ \imath \circ \varphi$ and where $\imath : B \to B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined by $\imath(b) = b \otimes 1$ for all $b \in B$. Moreover there is an isomorphism $\mu : U(B)/CU(B) \to U(B)/CU(B)$ with $\mu(U_0(B)/CU(B)) \subset U_0(B)/CU(B)$ such that

$$\imath^{\ddagger} \circ \mu \circ \varphi^{\ddagger} = \psi^{\ddagger} \text{ and } q_1 \circ \mu = q_1,$$

where $q_1 : U(B)/CU(B) \to K_1(B)$ is the quotient map.

Proof. Since φ_{*1} is an isomorphism and $\beta_{*1} = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})}$, we have that

$$(\psi)_{*1}(K_1(A)) = (\varphi_0)_{*1}(K_1(A)). \tag{e 11.246}$$

Moreover, $\ker(\imath\circ\varphi)_{*1} = \ker(\imath\circ\psi)_{*1}$.

Let $q_1' : U(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to K_1(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $q_1'' : U(A)/CU(A) \to K_1(A)$ be the quotient maps. Define $G = q_1'^{-1}((\varphi_0)_{*1}(K_1(A)))$.

It follows from 11.2 that

$$(\psi)^{\ddagger}(U_0(A)/CU(A)) = U_0(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (\varphi_0)^{\ddagger}(U_0(A)/CU(A)). \tag{e 11.247}$$

Considering the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & U_0(A)/CU(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(\psi)^{\ddagger}"] & U(A)/CU(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "(\psi)^{\ddagger}"] & K_1(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi_{*1}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & U_0(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] & (\varphi_0)_{*1}(K_1(A)) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Combining this with (e 11.247), we have that

$$\psi^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)) \subset (\varphi_0)^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)).$$

We then conclude that

$$\psi^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)) = (\varphi_0)^{\ddagger}(U(A)/CU(A)).$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$q_1'\circ\varphi_0^{\ddagger} = (\varphi_0)_{*1}\circ q_1'' \ \text{ and } \ q_1'\circ(\psi)^{\ddagger} = \psi_{*1}\circ q_1''. \tag{e 11.248}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.6 that $U_0(A)/CU(A)$ is divisible. There is an injective homomorphism $\delta_1 : K_1(A) \to U(A)/CU(A)$ such that $q_1''\circ\delta_1 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(A)}$ is the quotient map and we write

$$U(A)/CU(A) = U_0(A)/CU(A) \oplus \delta_1(K_1(A)).$$

We also have an injective homomorphism $\delta_2 : K_1(B) \to U(B)/CU(B)$ such that $q_1\circ\delta_1 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(B)}$ and we write

$$U(B)/CU(B) = U_0(B)/CU(B) \oplus \delta_2(K_1(B)).$$

We also have an injective homomorphism $\delta_3 : K_1(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to U(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ such that $q_1'\circ\delta_3 = \mathrm{id}_{K_1(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})}$. Note that

$$q_1'\circ\imath^{\ddagger}\circ(\mathrm{id}_{U(B)/CU(B)} - \delta_2\circ q_1) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ q_1'\circ\imath^{\ddagger} = \imath_{*1}\circ q_1.$$

We also have

$$\delta_3\circ\imath_{*1} = \imath^{\ddagger}\circ\delta_2. \tag{e 11.249}$$

It follows that

$$G = U_0((B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus \imath^{\ddagger}\circ\delta_2\circ(\varphi)_{*1}\circ q_1''(U(A)/CU(A)).$$

Let

$$j = (\imath^{\ddagger}|_{U_0(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})})^{-1} : U_0(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})/CU(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to U_0(B)/CU(B).$$

Define $\beta^{\ddagger,0} : U(B)/CU(B) \to U_0(B)/CU(B)$ by

$$\beta^{\ddagger,0} = j \circ (\beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger - \delta_3 \circ q_1' \circ \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger). \tag{e 11.250}$$

Note that $\beta^{\ddagger,0}|_{U_0(B)/CU(B)} = j\circ\beta^\ddagger\circ\imath^\ddagger|_{U_0(B)/CU(B)}$, since $\beta^\ddagger$ maps $U_0(B)/CU(B)$ to $U_0(B)/CU(B)$. Thus

$$\beta^{\ddagger,0} \circ \varphi^\ddagger = j \circ (\beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger - \delta_3 \circ q_1' \circ \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger). \tag{e 11.251}$$

Define $\mu : U(B)/CU(B) \to U(B)/CU(B)$ by

$$\mu = \delta_2 \circ q_1 + \beta^{\ddagger,0}. \tag{e 11.252}$$

We compute that

$$\begin{align}
\imath^\ddagger \circ \mu \circ \varphi^\ddagger &= \imath^\ddagger \circ \delta_2 \circ q_1 \circ \varphi^\ddagger + \imath^\ddagger \circ \beta^{\ddagger,0} \circ \varphi^\ddagger \tag{e 11.253}\\
&= \imath^\ddagger \circ \delta_2 \circ \varphi_{*1} \circ q_1'' \tag{e 11.254}\\
&\quad + \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger - \delta_3 \circ q_1' \circ \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger \tag{e 11.255}\\
&= \imath^\ddagger \circ \delta_2 \circ \varphi_{*1} \circ q_1'' \tag{e 11.256}\\
&\quad + \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger - \delta_3 \circ \beta_{*1} \circ \imath_{*1} \circ \varphi_{*1} \circ q_1'' \tag{e 11.257}\\
&= \imath^\ddagger \circ \delta_2 \circ \varphi_{*1} \circ q_1'' \tag{e 11.258}\\
&\quad + \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger - \delta_3 \circ \imath_{*1} \circ \varphi_{*1} \circ q_1'' \tag{e 11.259}\\
&= \beta^\ddagger \circ \imath^\ddagger \circ \varphi^\ddagger = \psi^\ddagger. \tag{e 11.260}
\end{align}$$

Moreover,

$$q_1 \circ \mu = q_1 \circ \delta_2 \circ q_1 + q_1 \circ \beta^{\ddagger,0} = q_1.$$

Since $\mu|_{U_0(B)/CU(B)}$ and $q_1 \circ \mu|_{\delta_2(U(B)/CU(B))}$ are both isomorphisms, one checks that μ is an isomorphism.

□

Lemma 11.4. *Let A and let B be two unital simple amenable C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{N}$ with $TR(A) \le 1$ and $TR(B) \le 1$. Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : A \to B$ are two isomorphisms such that $[\varphi_1] = [\varphi_2]$ in $KK(A,B)$. Then there exists an automorphism $\beta : B \to B$ such that $[\beta] = [\mathrm{id}_B]$ in $KK(B,B)$ and $\beta\circ\varphi_2$ is asymptotically unitarily equivalent to φ_1. Moreover, if $H_1(K_0(A), K_1(B)) = K_1(B)$, they are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. It follows from 9.8 that there is an automorphism $\beta_1 : B \to B$ satisfying the following:

$$[\beta_1] = [\mathrm{id}_B] \ \text{ in } \ KK(B,B), \tag{e 11.261}$$

$$\beta_1^\ddagger = \varphi_1^\ddagger \circ (\varphi_2^{-1}))^\ddagger \ \text{ and } \ (\beta_1)_T = (\varphi_1)_T \circ (\varphi_2)_T^{-1}. \tag{e 11.262}$$

By 9.10, there is automorphism $\beta_2 \in Aut(B)$ such that

$$[\beta_2] = [\mathrm{id}_B] \ \text{ in } \ KK(B,B), \tag{e 11.263}$$

$$\beta_2^\ddagger = \mathrm{id}_B^\ddagger, \ \ (\beta_2)_T = (\mathrm{id}_B)_T \ \text{ and} \tag{e 11.264}$$

$$\overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\beta_2} = -\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\beta_1\circ\varphi_2} \circ (\varphi_2)_{*1}^{-1}. \tag{e 11.265}$$

Put $\beta = \beta_2 \circ \beta_1$. It follows that

$$[\beta \circ \varphi_2] = [\varphi_1] \ \text{ in } \ KK(A,B), \tag{e 11.266}$$

$$(\beta \circ \varphi_2)^\ddagger = \varphi_1^\ddagger \ \text{ and } \ (\beta \circ \varphi_2)_T = (\varphi_1)_T. \tag{e 11.267}$$

Moreover, by 9.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\beta\circ\varphi_2} &= \overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\beta_2}\circ(\varphi_2)_{*1}+\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\beta_1\circ\varphi_2} && \text{(e 11.268)}\\ &= (-\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\beta_1\circ\varphi_2}\circ(\varphi_2)_{*1}^{-1})\circ(\varphi_2)_{*1}+\overline{R}_{\varphi_1,\beta_1\circ\varphi_2}=0. && \text{(e 11.269)}\end{aligned}$$

It follows from 9.8 that $\beta\circ\varphi_2$ and φ_1 are asymptotically unitarily equivalent.

In the case that $H_1(K_0(A),K_1(B))=K_1(B)$, it follows from 10.5 that $\beta\circ\varphi_2$ and φ_1 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent.

□

Lemma 11.5. *Let A and let B be two unital simple amenable C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{N}$ with $TR(A)$, $TR(B)\le 1$ and let $\varphi: A\to B$ be an isomorphism. Suppose that $\beta\in Aut(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for which*

$$[\beta]=[\mathrm{id}_{B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}}] \text{ in } KK(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}},B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ and } \beta_T=(\mathrm{id}_{B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}})_T$$

for some supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$ of infinite type.

Then there exists an automorphism $\alpha\in Aut(B)$ with $[\alpha]=[\mathrm{id}_B]$ in $KK(B,B)$ such that $\imath\circ\alpha\circ\varphi$ and $\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent, where $\imath: B\to B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined by $\imath(b)=b\otimes 1$ for all $b\in B$.

Proof. It follows from 11.3 that there is an isomorphism $\mu: U(B)/CU(B)\to U(B)/CU(B)$ such that

$$\imath^{\ddagger}\circ\mu\circ\varphi^{\ddagger}=(\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi)^{\ddagger}.$$

Note that $\imath_T: T(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})\to T(B)$ is an affine homeomorphism.

It follows from 9.8 that there is an automorphism $\alpha: B\to B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&[\alpha]=[\mathrm{id}_B] \text{ in } KK(B,B), && \text{(e 11.270)}\\ &\alpha^{\ddagger}=\mu,\ \ \alpha_T=(\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi)_T\circ((\imath\circ\varphi)_T)^{-1}=(\mathrm{id}_{B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}})_T \text{ and} && \text{(e 11.271)}\\ &\overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\alpha}(x)(\tau)=-\overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\,\imath\circ\varphi}(\varphi_{*1}^{-1}(x))(\imath_T(\tau)) \text{ for all } x\in K_1(A) && \text{(e 11.272)}\end{aligned}$$

and for all $\tau\in T(B)$.

Denote by $\psi=\imath\circ\alpha\circ\varphi$. Then we have, by 9.7,

$$\begin{aligned}&[\psi]=[\imath\circ\varphi]=[\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi] \text{ in } KK(A,B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) && \text{(e 11.273)}\\ &\psi^{\ddagger}=\imath^{\ddagger}\circ\mu\circ\varphi^{\ddagger}=(\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi)^{\ddagger}, && \text{(e 11.274)}\\ &\psi_T=(\imath\circ\alpha\circ\varphi)_T=(\imath\circ\varphi)_T=(\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi)_T. && \text{(e 11.275)}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $x\in K_1(A)$ and $\tau\in T(B\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ (by 9.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\psi}(x)(\tau) &= \overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\imath\circ\varphi}(x)(\tau)+\overline{R}_{\imath,\imath\circ\alpha}\circ\varphi_{*1}(x)(\tau) && \text{(e 11.276)}\\ &= \overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\imath\circ\varphi}(x)(\tau)+\overline{R}_{\mathrm{id}_B,\imath\circ\alpha}\circ\varphi_{*1}(x)(\imath_T^{-1}(\tau)) && \text{(e 11.277)}\\ &= \overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\imath\circ\varphi}(x)(\tau)-\overline{R}_{\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi,\imath\circ\varphi}(\varphi_{*1}^{-1})(\varphi_{*1}(x))(\tau)=0 && \text{(e 11.278)}\end{aligned}$$

It follows from 9.8 that $\imath\circ\alpha\circ\varphi$ and $\beta\circ\imath\circ\varphi$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent.

□

11.6. Let A be a unital separable simple C^*-algebra. By the Elliott invariant we mean the 6-tuple:

$$Ell(A)=(K_0(A),K_0(A)_+,[1_A],K_1(A),T(A),\rho_A),$$

where $\rho_A : T(A) \to S_{[1]}(K_0(A))$ (where $S_{[1]}(K_0(A))$ is the state space of $K_0(A)$) is a surjective affine continuous map such that $\rho_A(\tau)([p]) = \tau(p)$ for any projection $p \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let B be another unital separable simple C^*-algebra. We say that there is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma : Ell(A) \to Ell(B)$$

if there is an order isomorphism $\kappa_0 : (K_0(A), K_0(A)_+, [1_A]) \to (K_0(B), K_0(B)_+, [1_B])$, there is an isomorphism $\kappa_1 : K_1(A) \to K_1(B)$ and there is an affine homeomorphism $\mu : T(A) \to T(B)$ for which

$$\mu^{-1}(\tau)(p) = \rho_B(\tau)(\kappa_0([p]))$$

for all projection $p \in M_k(A)$ (for all $k \geq 1$) and all tracial states $\tau \in T(B)$.

Theorem 11.7. *Let A and B be two unital separable simple C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{N}$. Suppose that there is an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma : Ell(A) \to Ell(B).$$

Suppose that, for some pair of relatively prime supernatural numbers $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ of infinite type such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong Q$, $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$, $TR(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$, $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq 1$ and $TR(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}})) \leq 1$. Then,

$$A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong B \otimes \mathcal{Z}.$$

Proof. Note that Γ induces an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} : Ell(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \to Ell(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$ and $TR(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$, by Theorem 10.10 of [31], there is an isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}} \to B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Moreover, (by the proof of Theorem 10.4 of [31]), $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ carries $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For exactly the same reason, Γ induces an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} : Ell(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to Ell(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

and there is an isomorphism $\psi_{\mathfrak{q}} : A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}} \to B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ which induces $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Put $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}} : A \otimes Q \to B \otimes Q$ and $\psi = \psi_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}} : A \otimes Q \to B \otimes Q$.

Note that

$$(\varphi)_{*i} = (\psi)_{*i} \ (i = 0, 1) \ \text{ and } \ \varphi_T = \psi_T.$$

(they are induced by Γ). Note that φ_T and ψ_T are affine homeomorphisms. Since $K_{*i}(B \otimes Q)$ is divisible, we in fact have $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ (in $KK(A \otimes Q, B \otimes Q)$). It follows from 11.4 that there is an automorphism $\beta : B \otimes Q \to B \otimes Q$ such that

$$[\beta] = [\mathrm{id}_{B \otimes Q}] \ \ KK(B \otimes Q, B \otimes Q)$$

as well as φ and $\beta \circ \psi$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Since $K_1(B \otimes Q)$ is divisible, $H_1(K_0(A \otimes Q), K_1(B \otimes Q)) = K_1(B \otimes Q)$. It follows that φ and $\beta \circ \psi$ are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Note also in this case

$$\beta_T = (\mathrm{id}_{B \otimes Q})_T.$$

Let $\imath : B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}} \to B \otimes Q$ defined by $\imath(b) = b \otimes 1$ for $b \in B$. We consider the pair $\beta \circ \imath \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\imath \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By applying 11.5, there exists an automorphism $\alpha : B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}} \to B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ such that $\imath \circ \alpha \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\beta \circ \imath \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ are asymptotically unitarily equivalent (in $M(B \otimes Q)$). So they are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Moreover,

$$[\alpha] = [\mathrm{id}_{B \otimes M\mathfrak{q}}] \ \text{ in } \ KK(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}, B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

We will show that $\beta\circ\psi$ and $\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Define $\beta_1=\beta\circ\imath\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}: B\otimes Q\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}\to B\otimes Q\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $j: Q\to Q\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ defined by $j(b)=b\otimes 1$. There is an isomorphism $s: M_{\mathfrak{p}}\to M_{\mathfrak{p}}\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $(\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}}\otimes s)_{*0}=j_{*0}$. In this case $[\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}}\otimes s]=[j]$. Since $K_1(M_{\mathfrak{p}})=0$. By 7.2, $\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}}\otimes s$ is strongly asymptotically uniatrily equivalent to j. It follows that $\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $\beta\circ\imath\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Consider the C^*-subalgebra $C=\beta\circ\psi(1\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}\subset B\otimes Q\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In C, $\beta\circ\varphi|_{1\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and j_0 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent, where $j_0: M_{\mathfrak{p}}\to C$ is defined by $j_0(a)=1\otimes a$ for all $a\in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. There exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{v(t): t\in[0,\infty)\}\subset C$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathrm{ad}\, v(t)\circ\beta\circ\varphi(1\otimes a)=1\otimes a\ \text{ for all }\ a\in M_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{e 11.279}$$

It follows that $\beta\circ\psi$ and β_1 are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Therefore $\beta\circ\psi$ and $\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Finally, we conclude that $\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and φ are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Note that $\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is an isomorphism which induces $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Let $\{u(t): t\in[0,1)\}$ be a continuous path of unitaries in $B\otimes Q$ with $u(0)=1_{B\otimes Q}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathrm{ad}\, u(t)\circ\varphi(a)=\alpha\circ\psi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}}(a)\ \text{ for all }\ a\in A\otimes Q.$$

One then obtains a unitary suspended isomorphism which lifts Γ along $Z_{p,q}$ (see [50]). It follows from Theorem 7.1 of [50] that $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}$ and $B\otimes\mathcal{Z}$ are isomorphic.

□

Definition 11.8. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of those unital simple C^*-algebras A in $\mathcal{N}$ for which $TR(A\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})\le 1$ for any supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$ of infinite type.

Of course $\mathcal{A}$ contains all unital simple amenable C^*-algebras with tracial rank no more than one which satisfy the UCT. It contains the Jiang-Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$. It is also known to contain all unital simple C^*-algebras which are locally type I with unique tracial state. Moreover, it contains all unital simple $\mathcal{Z}$-stable ASH-algebras A such that $T(A)=S_{[1]}(K_0(A))$, where $S_{[1]}(K_0(A))$ is the state space of $K_0(A)$. In these three cases, the tensor products of these unital simple C^*-algebras with any infinite dimensional UHF-algebras actually have tracial rank zero (see [50] and [35]).

There are of course unital simple C^*-algebras A for which $A\otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has tracial rank one (not zero). For example, all unital simple AH-algebras have this property. The following corollary states that C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{A}$ can be classified up to $\mathcal{Z}$-stably isomorphism by their Elliott invariant.

Corollary 11.9. *Let A and B be two C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}\cong B\otimes\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $Ell(A\otimes\mathcal{Z})\cong Ell(B\otimes\mathcal{Z})$.*

Proof. This follows from 11.7 immediately.

□

Theorem 11.10. (i) *Every unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra of a C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{A}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$;*

(ii) *If $A\in\mathcal{A}$, then $M_n(A)\in\mathcal{A}$ for integer $n\ge 1$;*

(iii) *If $A\in\mathcal{A}$, then $A\otimes\mathcal{Z}\in\mathcal{A}$;*

(iv) *If A and B are in $\mathcal{A}$, then $A\otimes B\in\mathcal{A}$, and*

(v) *If $\{A_n\}\subset\mathcal{A}$ and $A=\lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n,\varphi_n)$, then $A\in\mathcal{A}$,*

(vi) *Every unital simple AH-algebra is in $\mathcal{A}$.*

(v) *$\mathcal{Z}\in\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. (i) Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, for any supernatural number $\mathfrak{p}$, $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$. Let $p \in A$ be a projection. Then $pAp \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, by 5.3 of [20], $TR(pAp \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$. Thus $pAp \in \mathcal{A}$.

(v) Suppose that $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n, \varphi_n)$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a supernatural number. Then $TR(A_n \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$. It follows that $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$.

(iv). Suppose that A, $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a supernatural number. Note that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes (B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Since $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$ and $TR(B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$, by 10.10 and 10.9 of [31], $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are unital simple AH-algebra with no dimension growth. It is easy to see that $(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes (B \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is also a unital simple AH-algebra with no dimension growth. It follows that $(A \otimes B) \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) Note that M_n, $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus (iii) follows from (iv).

(vi) Suppose that A is a unital simple AH-algebra. Then it is easy to see that $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has very slow dimension growth (in the sense of Gong) for any supernatural numbers $\mathfrak{p}$. It follows from Theorem 2.5 of [31] that $TR(A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \le 1$.

(v) $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{A}$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{Z} \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is approximately divisible and whose projections separate the traces. In fact that $\mathcal{Z} \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has tracial rank zero.

□

Corollary 11.11. *Let A and B be two unital simple AH-algebras. Then $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong B \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ if $Ell(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}) \cong Ell(B \otimes \mathcal{Z})$.*

Proof. This follows from (vi) of 11.10 and 11.9 immediately.

□

One may compare the following with Theorem 3.4 of [48].

Corollary 11.12. *Let A be a unital simple infinite dimensional AH-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *A is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable,*
(2) *A is approximately divisible,*
(3) $TR(A) \le 1$,
(4) *A is isomorphic to a unital simple AH-algebra with very slow dimension growth,*
(5) *A is isomorphic to a unital simple AH-algebra with no dimension growth.*

Proof. The implication (5) to (4) follows from the definition. That (2) implies (1) follows from Theorem 2.3 of [47], and that (4) implies (3) was proved in Theorem 2.5 of [31]. By [9], (5) implies (2). It follows from Theorem 10.10 of [31] that (3) implies (5). It remains to show that (1) implies (5).

Let A be a unital simple AH-algebra which is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. Then, by Theorem 3.6 of [47], there is a unital simple AH-algebra B with no dimension growth such that $Ell(A) = Ell(B)$. It follows from the implication that (5) implies (2) and that (2) implies (1) that B is also $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. Therefore, by 11.11, $A \cong B$. Consequently, (1) implies (5).

□

Corollary 11.13. *Let A be a unital simple infinite dimensional AH-algebra. Then $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic to a unital simple AH-algebra with no dimension growth.*

Proof. The proof of this is contained in the proof of the implication from (1) to (5). □

11.14. It is known that all unital simple ASH-algebras A whose projections separate the traces are in $\mathcal{A}$ (see [50]). In fact, in this case, $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has tracial rank zero (see [50]). Moreover, any unital simple C^*-algebra with unique tracial state which is an inductive limit of type I C^*-algebras is in $\mathcal{A}$ (see also [35]). In a subsequent paper ([40]), we will show that all unital simple inductive limits of dimension drop algebras studied by Jiang and Su ([16]), as well as those unital simple inductive limits of dimension drop circle algebras studied in [42] are in $\mathcal{A}$. These give examples of unital simple C^*-algebras A for which $A \otimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has tracial rank one but not zero. Other unital simple ASH-algebras whose K_0-groups are not Riesz groups are also shown to be in $\mathcal{A}$. The range of invariants of $\mathcal{A}$ will be discussed.

References

[1] B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on C^*-algebras* J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), 297–340.

[2] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott, D. Evans and A. Kishimoto, *Homotopy of a pair of approximately commuting unitaries in a simple C^*-algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **160** (1998), 466–523.

[3] B. Blackadar and M. Rrdam, *Extending states on preordered semigroups and the existence of quasitraces on C^*-algebras*, J. Algebra **152** (1992), 240–247.

[4] M. Dadarlat and T. Loring, *A universal multicoefficient theorem for the Kasparov groups*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 355–377.

[5] P. de la Harpe and G. Skandalis, *Déterminant associé a une trace sur une algébre de Banach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **34**, (1984), 169202.

[6] J. Dixmier, *On some C^*-algebras considered by Glimm*, J. Funct. Anal. **1** ,1967 182–203

[7] G. A. Elliott, *On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 179–219.

[8] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero. II*, Ann. of Math. **144** (1996), 497–610.

[9] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, *Approximate divisibility of simple inductive limit C^*-algebras* Operator algebras and operator theory (Shanghai, 1997), 87–97, Contemp. Math., **228**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[10] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, *Injectivity of the connecting maps in AH inductive limit systems*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), 50–68.

[11] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, *On the classification of simple inductive limit C^*-algebras. II. The isomorphism theorem*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), 249–320.

[12] G. A. Elliott and T. Loring, *AF embeddings of $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ with a prescribed K-theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **103** (1992) 1–25.

[13] G. A. Elliott and M. Rørdam, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^*-algebras, II*, Comment. Math. Hel **70** (1995), 615-638.

[14] R. Exel, *The soft torus and applications to almots commuting matrics*, Pacific J. Math., **160** (1993), 207-217.

[15] G. Gong and H. Lin, *Almost multiplicative morphisms and K-theory*, Internat. J. Math. **11** (2000), 983–1000.

[16] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^*-algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), 359–413.

[17] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The* Ext *class of an approximately inner automorphism*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4127–4148.

[18] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The Ext class of an approximately inner automorphism. II*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 99–122.

[19] L. Li, *C^*-algebra homomorphisms and KK-theory*, K-Theory **18** (1999), 161–172.

[20] H. Lin, *Tracial topological ranks of C^*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **83** (2001), 199-234.

[21] H. Lin, *Classification of simple tracially AF C^*-algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **53** (2001), 161–194.

[22] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^*-algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. xii+320 pp. ISBN: 981-02-4680-3.

[23] H. Lin, *Embedding an AH-algebra into a simple C^*-algebra with prescribed KK-data. K-*Theory **24** (2001), 135–156.

[24] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C^*-algebras and higher dimensional noncommutative tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) 157 (2003), no. 2, 521–544.

[25] H. Lin, *Simple AH-algebras of real rank zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 3813–3819 (electronic).

[26] H. Lin, *Classification of simple C^*-algebras with tracial topological rank zero*, Duke Math. J.,**125** (2004), 91-119.

[27] H. Lin, *Traces and simple C^*-algebras with tracial topological rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 568 (2004), 99–137.

[28] H. Lin, *Classification of homomorphisms and dynamical systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 859-895.

[29] H. Lin, *Unitary equivalences for essential extensions of C^*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:math/0403236.

[30] H. Lin, *Approximate homotopy of homomorphisms from $C(X)$ into a simple C^*-algebra*, preprint, arxiv.org/ OA/0612125.

[31] H. Lin, *Simple nuclear C^*-algebras of tracial topological rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007), 601–679.

[32] H. Lin, *AF-embedding of crossed products of AH-algebras by $\mathbb{Z}$ and asymptotic AF-embedding*, Indiana J. Math., to appear, arXiv:math/0612529

[33] H. Lin, *Asymptotically unitary equivalence and asymptotically inner automorphisms*, preprint, arXiv:math/0703610.

[34] H. Lin, *AF-embedding of the crossed products of AH-algebras by finitely generated abelian groups*, Inter. Math. Res. Papers, to appear, arXiv:0706.2229.

[35] H. Lin, *Localizing the Elliott Conjecture at Strongly Self-absorbing C^*-algebras –An Appendix*, preprint, arXiv:0709.1654 v2.

[36] H. Lin, *Approximate Unitary Equivalence in Simple C^*-algebras of Tracial Rank One*, preprint, arXiv:0801.2929.

[37] H. Lin, *The Range of Approximate Unitary Equivalence Classes of Homomorphisms from AH-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:0801.3858

[38] H. Lin, *Homotopy of unitaries in simple C^*-algebras with tracial rank one*, preprint, arXiv:0805.0583.

[39] H. Lin and Z. Niu *Lifting KK-elements, asymptotical unitary equivalence and classification of simple C^*-algebras*, Adv. Math., to appear, arXiv:0802.1484.

[40] H. Lin and Z. Niu *The Range of a classifiable simple amenable C^*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:0808.3424.

[41] T. Loring, *K-theory and asymptotically commuting matrices*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), 197–216.

[42] J. Mygind. Classification of certain simple C*-algebras with torsion in K_1. *Canad. J. Math.*, 53(6):1223–1308, 2001.

[43] K. E. Nielsen and K. Thomsen, *Limits of circle algebras*, Expo. Math. **14**, (1996),1756.

[44] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalized K-functor*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 431–474

[45] K. Thomsen, *Traces, unitary characters and crossed products by Z*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **31** (1995), 1011–1029.

[46] A. Toms, *On the classification problem for nuclear C^*-algebras*, preprint, math.OA/0509103.

[47] A. Toms and W. Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C^*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 3999–4029

[48] A Toms and W. Winter, *The Elliott conjecture for Villadsen algebras of the first type*, preprint, arXiv:math/0703393.

[49] J. Villadsen, *On the stable rank of simple C^*-algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999) 1091–1102.

[50] W. Winter, *Localizing the Elliott conjecture at strongly self-absorbing C^*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:0708.0283v2.